On the lowest eigenvalue of a pseudo-differential operator

(sharp Garding inequalities/uncertainty principle/subelliptic estimates/commutators of vector fields)

C. Fefferman* and D. H. Phong†

*Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544; and †Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027

Contributed by Charles Fefferman, September 17, 1979

ABSTRACT Positive lower bounds for pseudo-differential operators with nonnegative symbols are derived; the bounds in particular yield subelliptic estimates for operators arising as sums of squares of vector fields.

Let $p(x,\xi)$ be a nonnegative symbol satisfying the estimates

$$|D^{\alpha}_{r}D^{\beta}_{t}p(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}M^{2-|\beta|}.$$

We shall outline an algorithm to determine the order of magnitude of the lowest eigenvalue of the corresponding pseudo-differential operator p(x,D). This is closely related to earlier work on conditions ensuring the estimate

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle p(x,D)u,u\rangle + C\|u\|^2 \geq 0 \qquad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$
 [2]

The sharpest known sufficient conditions for inequality 2 are the following:

(i)
$$p \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$
, $p \ge 0$ (see ref. 1)

(ii)
$$p \in S^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$
, $p + Tr + p \ge 0$, in which

Tr+p is a nonnegative quantity defined in terms of the Hessian of p [see Hörmander (2) and also Melin (3)].

Our first main result on the eigenvalue problem, motivated by the uncertainty principle of quantum mechanics, is the following:

Let $Q_0 = \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; |x|, |\xi| \le 1\}$; say that a canonical transformation $\Phi: (x,\xi) \to (y,\eta)$ mapping Q_0 into $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is a testing map if $y - y_0$ and $(\eta - \eta_0)/M$ are C^{α} functions of (x,ξ) with norms bounded by a fixed constant. Here (y_0,η_0) denotes $\Phi(0,0)$, and α is an integer that depends on ε below.

THEOREM 1. If $p(x,\xi) \ge 0$ satisfies inequality 1, and $K \ge C_e M^e$ is a constant such that

$$\|p \circ \Phi\|_{C^0(O_0)} \ge K$$
 for any testing map Φ ,

then

$$Re\langle p(x,D)u,u\rangle \geq c_{\varepsilon}K\|u\|^2$$
 $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. [3]

From *Theorem 1*, one can easily read off the following special case of the theorem of Hörmander (4) on commutators of vector fields:

COROLLARY. Let X_1,\ldots,X_m be vector fields on \mathbb{R}^n whose Lie brackets up to order k generate the Lie algebra at each point. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|X_{i}u\|^{2} + C_{\varepsilon}\|u\|^{2} \ge c_{\varepsilon}\|u\|^{2}_{-\varepsilon+1/(k+1)} \qquad \varepsilon > 0 \qquad [4]$$

for $u \in C^{\infty}$ supported in the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{n} .

In fact, inequality 4 holds for $\varepsilon = 0$, as was proved by Rothschild and Stein (5) (together with estimates in norms other than L^2); we shall also derive that result from a refinement of *Theorem 1* to be given below.

Proof of the Corollary. First observe that

$$||f||_{C^{k}(O_{0})} \le C_{\varepsilon} M^{\varepsilon} ||f||_{C^{0}(O_{0})} + C_{\varepsilon} M^{-2} ||f||_{C^{\beta}(O_{0})}$$
 [5]

if $\beta > [3k/\varepsilon] + 1$, as can be seen by comparing f with its Taylor expansion of order β in a ball of radius $M^{-\varepsilon/k}$. Next let p_1, \ldots, p_m be the symbols of X_1, \ldots, X_m localized to a conic neighborhood U in the region $|\xi| \approx M$, and assume that

$$|\{p_{i_1},\{p_{i_2},\ldots\{p_{i_k},p_{i_{k+1}}\},\ldots\}\}| \approx M$$
 throughout U . [6]

If Φ is a testing map then expression 6 will still hold with p_i , replaced by $p_{i,j} \circ \Phi$. The commutator is of course a polynomial homogeneous of degree k+1 in derivatives (of order up to k) of the $p_{i,j} \circ \Phi$, and therefore expression 6 yields

$$||p_{i_i} \circ \Phi||_{C^k(O_o)} \ge cM^{1/k+1}$$

for some i_i , which in turn implies

$$\max_{i} \|p_{i_j} \circ \Phi\|_{C^0(Q_0)} \ge c_{\varepsilon} M^{-\varepsilon + 1/(k+1)}$$

in view of inequality 5. The corollary is now an immediate consequence of *Theorem 1*.

The proof of *Theorem 1* proceeds by induction on the number of variables; a key tool in the induction is the following theorem, which also provides an algorithm for computing the size of the lowest eigenvalue:

THEOREM 2. Suppose $p(x,\xi) \ge 0$ satisfying inequality 1 in $\{|\xi_n| < M\}$ is of the form

$$p(x,\xi) = \xi_n^2 + a(x,\xi'), in \ which \ \xi' = (\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{n-1}).$$
 [7]

We define its derived symbol to be

$$p^*(x',x_n,\xi') = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a(x',x_n + jK^{-1/2}/N,\xi'),$$

in which $N = [3/\epsilon] + 1$. Then inequality 3 is equivalent to

$$Re\langle p^*(x',x_n,D')v,v\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \ge cK ||v||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$$
 [8]

for each x_n in \mathbb{R} and v in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

We now present the promised algorithm, which will either determine the lowest eigenvalue λ of p(x,D) up to a bounded factor or else show that $\lambda \leq C_c M^c$. Assume we already know how to carry out our algorithm in (n-1) dimensions $(n \geq 1)$; given a symbol $p \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying inequality 1, and a constant $K \geq C_c M^c$, our task is to decide whether the estimate 3 holds. Perform a Calderón–Zygmund decomposition of the basic $1 \times M$ blocks in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, stopping at Q_s if one of the following occurs:

$$(i) \quad (\min_{Q_s} p) (\operatorname{diam}_x Q_s)^{-2} (\operatorname{diam}_{\xi} Q_s)^{-2} \ge A,$$

$$(ii) \max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=2} \|D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} p\|_{L^{\infty}(Q_s^*)} \times (\operatorname{diam}_x Q_s)^{|\alpha|-2} (\operatorname{diam}_{\xi} Q_s)^{|\beta|-2} \ge A,$$

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby manked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U. S. C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

(iii) $(\operatorname{diam}_{x}Q_{s})(\operatorname{diam}_{\xi}Q_{s}) \leq K^{1/2}/A$.

Here A is a large constant, and Q_s^{\bullet} is the dilate of Q_s by a large constant factor. In view of the $S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$ calculus (see ref. 6), inequality 3 holds for $p(x,\xi)$ if and only if localized estimates hold for $p|Q_s$. Thus inequality 3 is evidently false if there is any Q_s satisfying iii. Otherwise, because the localized estimate is obviously true for Q_s satisfying i, the only delicate case is ii. However, a suitable canonical transformation carries the symbol $p|Q_s$ to a symbol of the form 7, so that *Theorem 2* reduces the problem to an eigenvalue computation in fewer variables.

The estimate 4 with $\varepsilon = 0$ can be obtained from our algorithm, which in fact shows that if $p = \sum p_i^2$ and

 $|\{p_{i_1}, \{p_{i_2}, \ldots, \{p_{i_l}, p_{i_{l+1}}\}, \ldots\}\}| \ge K^{(l+1)/2}$ for some l, [9] then inequality 3 holds, the reason being essentially that the derived symbol of p arising from a cube Q_s of type ii is again a sum of squares satisfying hypotheses analogous to inequality

Theorem 2 in turn can be deduced from the following result on the spectral decomposition of psuedo-differential operators, which may be of intrinsic interest:

THEOREM 3. Given $p(x,\xi) \ge 0$ and a constant K, let

$$p_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{u}) = \langle \min\{\mathbf{K}, p^{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{D})\}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle,$$

in which $p^w(x,D)$ is defined by the Weyl calculus as in Hörmander (2), and the minimum is taken in the sense of spectral theory. Then if p,q are nonnegative symbols satisfying inequality 1, we have

$$(p+q)_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{u}) \leq C_{\varepsilon}[p_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{u}) + q_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{u}) + M^{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{u}\|^{2}].$$

The proofs of the results announced here will appear in a forthcoming article.

It would be interesting to know whether the lower bound for the least eigenvalue of p(x,D) given by *Theorem 1* is sharp.

This research was carried out while C.F. was supported by the National Science Foundation Alan T. Waterman Award and D.H.P. was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

- Fefferman, C. & Phong, D. H. (1978) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75, 4673-4674
- 2. Hörmander, L. (1979) Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 32, 359-
- 3. Melin, A. (1971) Ark. Mat. 9 117-140.
- 4. Hörmander, L. (1967) Acta Math. 119, 147-171.
- 5. Rothschild, L. & Stein, E. M. (1977) Acta Math. 137, 247-320.
- 6. Beals, R. & Fefferman, C. (1974) Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 27,