

Strongly nonlinear parabolic initial-boundary value problems

(Dirichlet boundary conditions/compactness theorems/approximation theorems with convex side conditions)

HAIM BREZIS* AND FELIX E. BROWDER†

*Université de Paris VI, Paris 75005, France; and †Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

Contributed by Felix E. Browder, October 23, 1978

ABSTRACT An existence and uniqueness result is presented for the solution of a parabolic initial-boundary value problem under Dirichlet null boundary conditions for a general parabolic equation of order $2m$ with a strongly nonlinear zeroth-order perturbation. This is the parabolic generalization of a class of elliptic results considered earlier by the writers and others and is based upon a new compactness theorem.

Let Ω be a bounded open set in R^n , ($n \geq 1$), Q the cylinder $\Omega \times [0, T]$ for a given $T > 0$. Consider the quasilinear parabolic partial differential equation of order $2m$ on Q , ($m \geq 1$), of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A_t(u) + g(x, t, u) = f(x, t) \quad [1]$$

with the initial-boundary conditions

$$u(x, 0) = 0 \text{ for } x \text{ in } \Omega; \quad \frac{\partial^j u}{\partial N^j}(x, t) = 0 \text{ for } x \text{ in } \text{bdry}(\Omega), t > 0, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1 \quad [2]$$

(N being the normal derivative). Using the conventional notation (as described, for example, in ref. 1), A_t for each t in $[0, T]$ is an elliptic operator of order $2m$ in the generalized divergence form

$$A_t(u) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} (-1)^{|\beta|} D^\beta A_\beta(x, t, u, \dots, D^m u) \quad [3]$$

with the coefficient functions $A_\beta(x, t, \xi)$ of x in Ω , t in $[0, T]$, and $\xi = \{\xi_\alpha: |\alpha| \leq m\}$ continuous in ξ and measurable in (x, t) .

In a preceding paper (1), the writers studied the Dirichlet problem for the elliptic equation $A(u) + g(x, u) = f(x)$. Here, we consider the corresponding parabolic problem under the assumption that A_t is a regular elliptic operator in the Sobolev space $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ for a given exponent $p \geq 2$ —i.e., satisfies the following three conditions:

(i) There exists $c_0 \geq 0$, h_0 in $L^{p'}(Q)$, ($p' = p/(p-1)$), such that

$$|A_t(x, t, \xi)| \leq c_0 \{|\xi|^{p-1} + h_0(x, t)\}$$

for all (x, t, ξ) .

(ii) For (x, t) outside of a null set, all lower-order jets η , and $\zeta \neq \zeta^\#$,

$$\sum_{|\beta| = m} [A_\beta(x, t, \eta, \zeta) - A_\beta(x, t, \eta, \zeta^\#)] (\zeta_\beta - \zeta_\beta^\#) > 0.$$

(iii) There exists $c_1 > 0$, h_1 in $L^1(Q)$ such that for all (x, t, ξ)

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq m} A_\beta(x, t, \xi) \xi_\beta \geq c_1 |\xi|^p - h_1(x, t).$$

For the strongly nonlinear perturbing term $g(x, t, u)$, we assume no *a priori* growth restriction, but aside from the usual condition that $g(x, t, u)$ is measurable in (x, t) , continuous in u , we impose the following set of conditions:

(iv) There exists a continuous nondecreasing function $h: R^1 \rightarrow R^1$ with $h(0) = 0$ such that for all (x, t) in Q , r in R^1 , and a fixed C

$$rg(x, t, r) \geq 0; \quad |g(x, t, r)| \leq |h(r)|; \quad |h(r)| \leq C\{|g(x, t, r)| + |r|^{p-1} + 1\}.$$

The following two theorems, for the first of which we sketch the most important steps in the proof, are our basic result for this parabolic case:

THEOREM 1. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of R^n whose boundary satisfies the mild smoothness condition (s) of Definition 1 below, and consider a parabolic equation 1 satisfying the conditions i, ii, iii, and iv for a given $p \geq 2$. Let f be a distribution in $L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-m,p'}(\Omega))$.

Then: There exists u in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)) \cap C(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ with $u(0) = 0$ such that $g(u)$ and $ug(u)$ lie in $L^1(Q)$ that satisfies the equation 1 with the additional condition:

For $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \langle A_s(u(s)), u(s) \rangle ds + \int_{Q_t} ug(u) = \int_0^t \langle f(s), u(s) \rangle ds \quad [4]$$

(in which $Q_t = \Omega \times [0, t]$).

THEOREM 2. If, in addition, $g(x, t, r)$ is nondecreasing in r and each A_s is monotone, the solution u of Theorem 1 is uniquely determined by f .

The most important new ingredient in the proof of the parabolic result is the following compactness theorem:

PROPOSITION 1. Let Ω be a bounded open set in R^n , $\{u_k\}$ a bounded sequence in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega))$ such that $\partial u_k / \partial t = w_k + z_k$ where $\{w_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^p(0, T; W^{-m,p'}(\Omega))$ and $\{z_k\}$ is sequentially weakly compact in $L^1(Q)$.

Then: $\{u_k\}$ is strongly compact in $L^p(Q)$.

Proposition 1 is a special case of a more general result, which is of great interest in its own right:

THEOREM 3. Let X_0, X_1, X_2 be three Banach spaces with X_0 having a compact linear embedding in X_1 , X_1 a continuous linear embedding in X_2 . Let $\{u_k\}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^p(0, T; X_0)$ for $p \geq 1$ with du_k/dt lying in $L^1(0, T; X_2)$. Suppose that there exists a function $\gamma: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ with $\gamma(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ such that for any pair (s, t) in $[0, T]$ with $s < t$ and all k ,

$$\int_s^t \left\| \frac{du_k}{dt}(r) \right\|_{X_2} dr \leq \gamma(t-s).$$

Then: $\{u_k\}$ is strongly compact in $L^p(0, T; X_1)$.

We obtain Proposition 1 from Theorem 3 by the following specialization: We set $X_0 = W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$, $X_1 = L^p(\Omega)$, and $X_2 = W^{-j,p}(\Omega)$ with $j = n + m$. Then X_0 is compactly embedded in X_1 by the boundedness of the domain Ω and the compactness part of the Sobolev embedding theorem, and X_1 is continuously embedded in X_2 . The hypothesis of Theorem 3 is satisfied on the derivatives, for the $\{w_k\}$ by Holder's inequality and for the $\{z_k\}$ by the Dunford-Pettis theorem (because for a suitable

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U. S. C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

function γ with $\gamma(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, $\int_s^t \|z_k(s)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} ds \leq \gamma(t-s)$ because both $W^{-m,p}(\Omega)$ and $L^1(\Omega)$ are continuously embedded in X_2 .

Proof of Theorem 3: If we multiply the functions $u_k(t)$ by $\xi(t)$ with $\xi \in C^1(R^1)$ such that $\xi(t) = 1$ for $t \leq 1/2T$, $\xi(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 3/4T$, and note that both $\{\xi u_k\}$ and $\{(1-\xi)u_k\}$ satisfy the same hypotheses as $\{u_k\}$, it suffices to assume that for all k , $u_k(t)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$ and has its support in $[0, T]$.

Let j be a nonnegative function in $\mathcal{D}(R^1)$ with support in $[0, 1]$ such that $\int_0^\infty j(s) ds = 1$. For each $\delta > 0$, we set $j_\delta(s) = \delta^{-1}j(\delta^{-1}s)$. For each k and δ , we define

$$v_{k,\delta}(t) = \int_0^\infty j_\delta(s)u_k(t+s) ds.$$

Because $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^p(0, T; X_0)$, it follows that $\{v_{k,\delta}\}$ is bounded in $L^p(0, T; X_0)$ for all k and all $\delta > 0$. For each fixed $\delta > 0$, $\{v_{k,\delta}\}$ is a bounded sequence in $C^1(0, T; X_0)$ and by the compactness of the embedding of X_0 into X_1 , $\{v_{k,\delta}\}$ for fixed δ is strongly compact in $L^p(0, T; X_1)$. Hence, it suffices to show that $\int_0^T \|u_k(t) - v_{k,\delta}(t)\|_{X_1}^p dt \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in k .

Because X_0 is compactly embedded in X_1 and X_1 is continuously embedded in X_2 , for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists K_ϵ such that for all u in X_0 , $\|u\|_{X_1} \leq \epsilon \|u\|_{X_0} + K_\epsilon \|u\|_{X_2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|u_k(t) - v_{k,\delta}(t)\|_{X_1}^p &\leq c\epsilon \left(\int_0^T \|u_k(t)\|_{X_0}^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|v_{k,\delta}(t)\|_{X_0}^p dt \right) \\ &\quad + K \int_0^T \|u_k(t) - v_{k,\delta}(t)\|_{X_2}^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is bounded by ϵM . On the other hand, for t in $[0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k(t) - v_{k,\delta}(t)\|_{X_2} &\leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \delta} \|u_k(t) - u_k(t+s)\|_{X_2} \\ &\leq \int_t^{t+\delta} \left\| \frac{du_k}{dt}(r) \right\|_{X_2} dr \leq \gamma(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and then $\delta > 0$ small, the desired conclusion follows. q. e. d.

Definition 1: Let Ω be an open subset of R^n . For each $\delta > 0$, let $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega, \text{dist}(x, \text{bdry}(\Omega)) < \delta\}$. Then Ω is said to satisfy (S) if there exists $C > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$, such that for $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and all φ in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta} |\varphi|^p dx \leq C\delta^p \int_{\Omega_{C\delta}} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx.$$

We use the following approximation result in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2:

PROPOSITION 2. Let Ω be an open subset of R^n that satisfies (S), and let H be a continuous, nonnegative, convex function on the reals with $H(0) = 0$. Let u be an element of $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega))$ for some $p \geq 1$ with $H(u)$ lying in $L^1(Q)$.

Then there exists a sequence $\{v_j\}$ in $C(0, T; \mathcal{D}(\Omega))$ with $\partial v_j / \partial t \in L^2$, $v_j(0) = 0$ for each j such that v_j converges strongly to u in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega))$, v_j converges a.e. to u in Q , $H(v_j)$ converges strongly to $H(u)$ in $L^1(Q)$, and

$$\overline{\lim} \int_0^t \left(\frac{dv_j}{dt}(s), v_j(s) - u(s) \right) ds \leq 0$$

for all t in $[0, T]$.

We apply Proposition 2 to the convex function $H(r) = \int_0^r h(s) ds$, in which $h(r)$ is the nondecreasing continuous function of condition (iv) with $h(0) = 0$. Then H satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2, and $H' = h$. Suppose that u is an element of $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega))$ with $ug(u)$ in $L^1(Q)$. Because

$$0 \leq H(u) \leq uh(u) \leq Cug(u) + C|u|^p + C|u|,$$

it follows that $uh(u)$ and $H(u)$ lie in $L^1(Q)$. If we consider the sequence $\{v_j\}$ described by the conclusions of Proposition 2, then $(g(u)v_j)^+$ converges a.e. on Q to $ug(u)$. On the other hand, the subgradient relation $H(r) - H(s) \geq h(s)(r-s)$ for all r and s implies that $(h(s)r)^+ \leq H(r) + sh(s)$. Hence

$$(g(u)v_j)^+ \leq (h(u)v_j)^+ \leq H(v_j) + uh(u)$$

where the bounding sequence is strongly convergent in $L^1(Q)$. Hence for any t in $[0, T]$,

$$\int_{Q_t} g(u)v_j \leq \int_{Q_t} (g(u)v_j)^+ \rightarrow \int_{Q_t} ug(u).$$

Proof of Theorem 1: Let g_k be the truncation of g at level k . By the corresponding existence theorem for regular parabolic problems, for each k there exists u_k in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)) \cap C(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, $u(0) = 0$, such that

$$\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} + A(u_k) + g_k(u_k) = f.$$

Moreover, for each v in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)) \cap C^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ with $v(0) = 0$, we have for all t in $[0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2 \|u_k(t) - v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &+ \int_0^t \langle A_s(u_k(s)), u_k(s) - v(s) \rangle ds \\ &+ \int_{Q_t} g_k(u_k)(u_k - v) = \int_0^t \langle f(s), u_k(s) - v(s) \rangle ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \left(\frac{dv}{dt}(s), v(s) - u_k(s) \right) ds. \quad [5] \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we set $v(t) \equiv 0$, it follows as in the elliptic case that $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega))$ and in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and that $\{u_k g_k(u_k)\}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q)$. In particular, $\{g_k(u_k)\}$ is sequentially weakly compact in $L^1(Q)$.

We may now apply the compactness result of Proposition 1 to extract an infinite subsequence (again denoted by $\{u_k\}$) such that u_k converges weakly to u in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega))$ and strongly to u in $L^p(Q)$. We may also assume that u_k converges to u a.e. in Q , $g_k(u_k)$ converges to $g(u)$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$, and, for t outside of a null set N , $u_k(t)$ converges strongly to $u(t)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. The limit function u lies in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, $g(u)$ lies in $L^1(Q)$, and, by Fatou's Lemma, $ug(u)$ lies in $L^1(Q)$. We may also assume that $A(u_k)$ converges weakly in $L^p(0, T; W^{-m,p}(\Omega))$ to some w . In the sense of distributions on Q ,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + w + g(u) = f.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove that $w = A(u)$ and that Eq. 4 holds.

If we transform Eq. 5 above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \langle A_s(u_k(s)), u_k(s) - u(s) \rangle ds \\ + \int_{Q_t} \{g_k(u_k)u_k - g(u)u\} = J_k(v) + R_k(v) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(v) &= \int_0^t \left\{ \langle f(s), u_k(s) - v(s) \rangle - \langle A_s(u_k(s)), u(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - v(s) \rangle + \left(\frac{dv}{dt}(s), v(s) - u_k(s) \right) \right\} ds - 1/2 \|u_k(t) - v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ R_k(v) &= \int_{Q_t} \{g_k(u_k)v - g(u)u\}. \end{aligned}$$

For t outside N , $J_k(v)$ converges to $J(v)$, in which

$$J(v) = \int_0^t \langle f(s) - w(s), u(s) - v(s) \rangle$$

$$+ \left(\frac{dv}{dt}(s), v(s) - u(s) \right) ds - \frac{1}{2} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Moreover, $R_k(v)$ converges to $R(v)$ given by

$$R(v) = \int_{Q_t} \{g(u)v - g(u)u\}.$$

Consider the sequence $\{v_j\}$ corresponding to u in the sense of Proposition 2 with respect to the convex function H . Then

$$R(v_j) \leq \int_{Q_t} \{(g(u)v_j)^+ - ug(u)\} \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore

$$\overline{\lim} J(v_j) \leq 0.$$

Hence for all t outside of N ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim} \int_0^t \langle A_s(u_k(s)), u_k(s) - u(s) \rangle ds \\ + \int_{Q_t} \{g_k(u_k)u_k - g(u)u\} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\overline{\lim} \int_{Q_t} \{g_k(u_k)u_k - g(u)u\} \geq 0,$$

it follows that

$$\overline{\lim} \int_0^t \langle A_s(u_k(s)), u_k(s) - u(s) \rangle ds \leq 0.$$

Applying a slight variant of the pseudomonotonicity argument of ref. 2, it follows that $A(u_k)$ converges weakly to $A(u)$ in $L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-m, p'}(\Omega))$. Moreover,

$$\int_0^t \langle A_s(u_k(s)), u_k(s) - u(s) \rangle ds \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int_0^t \langle A_k(u_k(s)), u_k(s) \rangle ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \langle A(u(s)), u(s) \rangle ds.$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\overline{\lim} \int_{Q_t} g_k(u_k)u_k \leq \int_{Q_t} g(u)u,$$

so that

$$\int_{Q_t} g_k(u_k)u_k \rightarrow \int_{Q_t} g(u)u.$$

Finally, taking the limit of Eq. 5 with $v = 0$, we find that, for t outside of N ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \langle A_s(u(s)), u(s) \rangle ds \\ + \int_{Q_t} ug(u) = \int_0^t \langle f(s), u(s) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$ is identical with a continuous function for $t \notin N$. It follows immediately that if we redefine u on N , the resulting function lies in $C(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and Eq. 4 holds for all t in $[0, T]$. q.e.d.

1. Brezis, H. & Browder, F. E. (1978) *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, (Classe di Scienze) Ser. IV* 5, pp. 587-603.
2. Browder, F. E. (1977) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 74, 2659-2661.