

SOME SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR IN POTENTIAL THEORY

by JOHN F. AHNER

(Received 4th September 1985)

1. Introduction

In [7] Plemelj established some fundamental results in two- and three-dimensional potential theory about the eigenvalues of both the double layer potential operator and its adjoint, the normal derivative of the single layer potential operator. In [3] Blumenfeld and Mayer established some additional results concerning the eigenvalues of these integral operators in the case of \mathbb{R}^2 . The spectral properties established by Plemelj [7] and by Blumenfeld and Mayer [3] have had a profound effect in the area of integral equation methods in scattering and potential theory in both \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 .

Some applications that have been made of these results may be found in Colton and Kress [4]. A complete list, however, of all the different uses that have been made of the efforts of Plemelj [7] and of Blumenfeld and Mayer [3] would be a formidable task.

This paper arose from the author's long interest in the spectral properties of the double layer potential integral operator in both \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 . For sufficiently smooth boundaries, it can be shown that for both \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 the point 0 lies in the spectrum of the integral operator. A fundamental question is what part of the spectrum does 0 lie in?

For the case of \mathbb{R}^3 some partial results on this topic are known. If the underlying boundary is either a sphere or a prolate spheroid, it can be shown (see [1]) that the eigenvalues of the double layer potential integral operator lie in the interval $[-1, 0)$. Furthermore, it can be established that for both geometries 0 lies in the continuous spectrum of the integral operator. As for other geometries, the spectral classification of 0 remains an open question.

For the case of \mathbb{R}^2 , it turns out that the situation is somewhat different for the double layer potential operator, which in this paper we denote by K and define in equation (2.1). After encountering some serious difficulties in an attempt to establish a general theorem about which part of the spectrum of K the point 0 lies in, the author looked at some specific examples, namely, the circle and the ellipse. For the case of the circle, the point 0 lies in the point spectrum of K . For the case of an ellipse, 0 lies in the continuous spectrum of K . Consequently, these examples demonstrate that 0 does not always lie in the same component of the spectrum of K for all sufficiently smooth boundaries.

In the next section we give some notation, definitions and basic results which we shall use. In Section 3, we consider the case of a circle and compute the spectrum of K . It is shown that 0 is an eigenvalue and moreover, that it has infinite geometric multiplicity.

In Section 4 we consider the case of an ellipse. There we compute all the eigenvalues for K and establish that every eigenvalue has a geometric multiplicity of 1. Furthermore, we prove that the continuous spectrum of K is equal to the set $\{0\}$.

2. Notation, definitions and basic results

In this section we give our notation and state some definitions and results which we shall require. Let D_i be a bounded, simply connected domain in \mathbb{R}^2 containing the origin with a C^2 boundary ∂D , and let D_e denote the region exterior to \bar{D}_i . Let \hat{n} denote a unit normal directed out of D_i . Let x and y denote typical points in \mathbb{R}^2 .

We now define the following integral operators of potential theory:

$$(K\psi)(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D} \psi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} ds_y, \quad x \in \partial D, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(D\psi)(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D} \psi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} ds_y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \partial D. \tag{2.2}$$

Here it is understood that the integration is taken with respect to arc length.

A standard result in two-dimensional potential theory (e.g. see [9, pp. 78–80]) states that for closed smooth curves ∂D

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow y \\ x, y \in \partial D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa(y), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\kappa(y)$ denotes the curvature of ∂D at y . Consequently, unlike the weakly singular nature of the double layer kernel in \mathbb{R}^3 , the double layer kernel in \mathbb{R}^2 is continuous for all points x and y on ∂D , including when $x = y$.

Let $C(\partial D)$ denote the Banach space of complex-valued, continuous functions defined on ∂D equipped with the maximum norm. Since the integral operator K has a continuous kernel, it follows that K is a compact linear operator on $C(\partial D)$ (see [4, Theorem 1.10]).

Let $+$ and $-$ denote the limits obtained for the double layer potential $(D\psi)(x)$ by approaching the boundary ∂D from D_e and D_i , respectively, that is

$$(D_+u)(x) = \lim_{\substack{x_e \rightarrow x \\ x_e \in D_e}} (Du)(x_e), \quad x \in \partial D, \tag{2.4}$$

$$(D_-u)(x) = \lim_{\substack{x_i \rightarrow x \\ x_i \in D_i}} (Du)(x_i), \quad x \in \partial D. \tag{2.5}$$

It can be shown (e.g. see [5, p. 49] or [8, p. 392]) that

$$(D_{\pm}u)(x) = (Ku)(x) \pm u(x), \quad x \in \partial D. \tag{2.6}$$

Let A denote any bounded linear operator mapping a Banach space X into itself. By an eigenvalue of A we mean a complex number λ such that the nullspace $N(\lambda I - A) \neq \{0\}$ where I denotes the identity operator. Let $\rho(A)$ denote the resolvent set of A . Let $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectrum of A . Let $\sigma_C(A)$, $\sigma_P(A)$, and $\sigma_R(A)$ denote the continuous spectrum, point spectrum, and residual spectrum of A , respectively. It is known (e.g. see [2, Chapter 18] or [4, Theorem 1.34]) that if X is an infinite dimensional Banach space and if A is a compact linear operator then $\lambda=0$ lies in $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(A) \setminus \{0\}$ consists of at most a countable number of eigenvalues, with $\lambda=0$ the only possible limit point.

It can be shown (see [3]) that the eigenvalues of the integral operator K , defined in equation (2.1), lie in the interval $[-1, 1)$ and are symmetric with respect to the origin. The only exception is the eigenvalue -1 corresponding to constant eigenfunctions.

Finally, we shall denote the set of positive integers by \mathbb{N} .

3. The Circle

In this section ∂D is taken to be a circle of radius a . Under this assumption, we compute the spectrum of the integral operator K and also determine the spectral properties of the point $\lambda=0$ for K .

With respect to polar coordinates, let the points x and y be given by (r_x, ϕ_x) and (r, ϕ) , respectively. Then

$$\ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln [r_x^2 + r^2 - 2r_x r \cos(\phi - \phi_x)]. \tag{3.1}$$

From equation (3.1), for $x, y \in \partial D$, we have the following known result (e.g. see [5, p. 52])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \ln [a^2 + r^2 - 2ar \cos(\phi - \phi_x)] \right\}_{r=a} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2a}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Before proceeding to the stated purposes of this section, it is worthy of note to examine the result in equation (3.2) in the context of equation (2.3). It is a well known result in differential geometry that the curvature of a circle of radius a is $1/a$. Consequently, the results in equations (2.3) and (3.2) are seen to be compatible.

From equations (2.1) and (3.2) it follows that

$$K\psi(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(a, \phi) d\phi. \tag{3.3}$$

Letting ψ equal 1, $\cos m\phi$, and $\sin m\phi$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively, in equation (3.3) we have

$$K(1) = -1, \tag{3.4}$$

$$K(\cos m\phi) = K(\sin m\phi) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus $\lambda = -1$ is an eigenvalue of K with corresponding eigenfunction 1, and $\lambda = 0$ is also an eigenvalue of K with corresponding eigenfunctions $\{\cos m\phi, \sin m\phi : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. From the completeness of the orthogonal set of eigenfunctions $\{1, \cos m\phi, \sin m\phi : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $L^2[0, 2\pi]$, it follows, by a similar argument as used in [1], that with respect to the underlying Banach space $C(\partial D)$

$$\sigma_p(K) = \{-1, 0\}. \quad (3.6)$$

That is, $\lambda = -1$ and $\lambda = 0$ are the only eigenvalues of K for the case when ∂D is a circle.

In view of the fact that K is a compact linear operator on $C(\partial D)$, it follows that if $\lambda \neq 0$ then either $\lambda \in \rho(K)$ or $\lambda \in \sigma_p(K)$. Consequently, $\lambda = -1$ and $\lambda = 0$ are the only elements of $\sigma(K)$. Furthermore, since $\cos m\phi$ and $\sin m\phi$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are all eigenfunctions of K corresponding to $\lambda = 0$, it follows that

$$\dim N(K) = \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

4. The ellipse

The elliptical coordinates (μ, ϕ) are related to the rectangular Cartesian coordinates (y_1, y_2) by the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \frac{1}{2}c \cosh \mu \cos \phi, \\ y_2 &= \frac{1}{2}c \sinh \mu \sin \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $0 \leq \mu < \infty$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$. The closed curves corresponding to $\mu = \text{constant}$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ are confocal ellipses of interfocal distance c , eccentricity $e = (\cosh \mu)^{-1}$, major axis $c \cosh \mu$ and minor axis $c \sinh \mu$. The limiting case $\mu = 0$ represents the line segment between the foci.

In this section ∂D will denote the ellipse corresponding to $\mu = b$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, where b is some constant. To avoid the degenerate case, we will assume that $b > 0$.

In terms of elliptical coordinates it can be shown that the gradient of a scalar function $\Phi(\mu, \phi)$ is given by

$$\nabla \Phi(\mu, \phi) = \frac{2}{c\tau} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mu} \hat{e}_\mu + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \phi} \hat{e}_\phi \right), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\tau = [\cosh^2 \mu \sin^2 \phi + \sinh^2 \mu \cos^2 \phi]^{1/2}, \quad (4.3)$$

and where \hat{e}_μ and \hat{e}_ϕ denote the orthonormal vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_\mu &:= (\sinh \mu \cos \phi \hat{i} + \cosh \mu \sin \phi \hat{j})/\tau, \\ \hat{e}_\phi &:= (-\cosh \mu \sin \phi \hat{i} + \sinh \mu \cos \phi \hat{j})/\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Furthermore, it can be shown that the element of arc length ds is given by

$$ds = \frac{c}{2} \tau d\phi. \tag{4.5}$$

From [6, p. 1202] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} &= -\left(\mu_> + \ln \frac{c}{4}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n} e^{-n\mu_>} [\cosh n\mu_< \cos n\phi \cos n\phi_x \\ &\quad + \sinh n\mu_< \sin n\phi \sin n\phi_x], \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mu_> = \max\{\mu_x, \mu_y\}$, $\mu_< = \min\{\mu_x, \mu_y\}$, and (μ_x, ϕ_x) and (μ_y, ϕ) denote the elliptical coordinates of the points x and y , respectively.

At the point $(b, \phi) \in \partial D$ the unit tangent vector \hat{T} and the outer unit normal vector \hat{n} are given, respectively, by

$$\hat{T} = \hat{e}_\phi, \quad \hat{n} = \hat{e}_\mu. \tag{4.7}$$

For $y = (b, \phi) \in \partial D$ and $x = (\mu_x, \phi_x) \in D_i$ it follows from equations (4.2) and (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} &= \frac{-2}{c\tau} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-nb} [\cosh n\mu_x \cos n\phi \cos n\phi_x \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sinh n\mu_x \sin n\phi \sin n\phi_x] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Consequently, from equations (2.4), (4.5) and (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D\psi(x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\mu, \phi) \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-nb} [\cosh n\mu_x \cos n\phi \cos n\phi_x \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sinh n\mu_x \sin n\phi \sin n\phi_x] \right\} d\phi, \quad x \in D_i. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Letting ψ equal 1, $\cos m\phi$, and $\sin m\phi$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively, in equation (4.9), then using the orthogonality of the trigonometric functions, and finally letting

$\mu_x \rightarrow b$, we have from equation (2.6)

$$K(1) = -1, \quad (4.10)$$

$$K(\cos m\phi) = -e^{-2mb} \cos m\phi, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$K(\sin m\phi) = e^{-2mb} \sin m\phi, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus it is seen that $-1, -e^{-2mb}$, and $e^{-2mb}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, are eigenvalues of K with corresponding eigenfunctions $1, \cos m\phi$, and $\sin m\phi$, respectively. From the completeness of the orthogonal set of eigenfunctions $\{1, \cos m\phi, \sin m\phi: m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $L^2[0, 2\pi]$, it follows, by an argument similar to one used in [1], that with respect to the underlying Banach space $C(\partial D)$

$$\sigma_p(K) = \{-1, \pm e^{-2mb}: m \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (4.13)$$

That is, the above eigenvalues are the only eigenvalues of K . Consequently, unlike the situation when ∂D is a circle,

$$0 \notin \sigma_p(K), \quad (4.14)$$

when ∂D is an ellipse. Furthermore, it is seen that

$$\dim N(-I - K) = \dim N(\pm e^{-2mb} I - K) = 1 \quad (4.15)$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore each eigenvalue has a geometric multiplicity of 1.

To complete the analysis of this section we establish the following result which determines the spectral nature of the point $\lambda = 0$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let ∂D denote the ellipse corresponding to $\mu = b, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, where b is some positive constant. Then*

$$\{0\} = \sigma_c(K).$$

Proof. Since K is a compact linear operator on $C(\partial D)$,

$$0 \in \sigma(K). \quad (4.16)$$

Furthermore, since each eigenfunction must necessarily lie in the range of K , $R(K)$, we have

$$\{1, \cos m\phi, \sin m\phi: m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset R(K). \quad (4.17)$$

It follows that $R(K)$ is dense in $C(\partial D)$. Consequently, from equations (4.14) and (4.16) it

follows that

$$0 \in \sigma_c(K). \quad (4.18)$$

Finally, by using the fact that K is a compact linear operator on $C(\partial D)$, we have from equation (4.18)

$$\{0\} = \sigma_c(K). \quad \blacksquare$$

REFERENCES

1. J. F. AHNER and R. F. ARENSTORF, On the eigenvalues of the electrostatic integral operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, in press.
2. G. BACHMAN and L. NARICI, *Functional Analysis* (Academic Press, New York, 1966).
3. J. BLUMENFELD and W. MAYER, Über poincarésche fundamentalfunktionen, *Sitz. Wien. Akad. Wiss., Math.-Nat. Klasse* **122**, Abt. IIa (1914), 2011–2047.
4. D. COLTON and R. KRESS, *Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory* (Wiley, New York, 1983).
5. M. A. JASWON and G. T. SYMM, *Integral Equation Methods in Potential Theory and Elastostatics* (Academic Press, New York, 1977).
6. P. M. MORSE and H. FESHBACH, *Methods of Theoretical Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
7. J. PLEMELJ, *Potentialtheoretische Untersuchungen* (Preisschriften der Fürstlich Jablonowskischen Gesellschaft zu Leipzig, Teubner-Verlag, Leipzig, 1911).
8. A. N. TIKHONOV and A. A. SAMARSKII, *Equations of Mathematical Physics* (Pergamon Press, New York, 1963).
9. F. G. TRICOMI, *Integral Equations* (Wiley, New York, 1957).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37235