This article was downloaded by: [klaus braun] On: 22 August 2012, At: 15:11 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lnfa20</u>

L_{∞} -boundedness of the finite element galerkin operator for parabolic problems

J.A. Nitsche ^a & Mary F. Wheeler ^b

^a Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Hermann-Herder-Str.IO, 7800, Freiburg, Germany

^b Department of Mathematical Sciences, Rice University, Houston, Texas, 77001, U.S.A.

Version of record first published: 15 May 2007

To cite this article: J.A. Nitsche & Mary F. Wheeler (1982): L_{∞} -boundedness of the finite element galerkin operator for parabolic problems, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 4:4, 325-353

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01630568208816121</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

L_-BOUNDEDNESS OF THE FINITE ELEMENT GALERKIN OPERATOR FOR PARABOLIC PROBLEMS

J.A. Nitsche

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Hermann-Herder-Str.10, 7800 Freiburg Federal Republic of Germany

Mary F. Wheeler

Rice University Department of Mathematical Sciences Houston, Texas 77001 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

In this paper the heat equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions in $N \leq 3$ space dimensions - serving as model problem of second order parabolic initial boundary value problems - is considered. We prove: The standard finite element method is uniformly bounded in L_{∞} with respect to space and time if the underlying finite elements are at least cubics.

O. INTRODUCTION

Let the model problem

(0.1) $\begin{aligned} u - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, T], \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \times (0, T], \\ u_{t=0} = u_{0} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \end{aligned}$

be given. With $\overset{O}{S}_{h} \subseteq \overset{O1}{W}_{2}^{1}$ being a finite dimensional space - we will consider only finite elements - the

325

Copyright © 1982 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

standard Galerkin approximation $u_h = u_h(t) \in \overset{0}{S}_h$ is defined by

(0.2)

for $\chi \in S_h$ and $t \in (0,T]$

with

 $(0.3) u_{h}(0) = P_{h} u_{0}$.

Here (.,.) is the $L_2(\Omega)$ - inner product and D(.,.) the Dirichlet integral. P_h may be any linear projection onto S_h . We will restrict ourselves in the present paper to P_h being the L_2 -projection defined by - for v arbitrary: $\varphi = P_h v \in S_h$ and

$$(0.4)$$
 $(\varphi, \chi) = (v, \chi)$ for $\chi \in \overset{o}{S}_{h}$

 $(\dot{u}_{h},\chi) + D(u_{h},\chi) = (f,\chi)$

The result of our paper is

<u>Theorem 1:</u> In N = 1,2,3 space dimensions and for finite elements of order 4 or higher, i.e. at least cubics, the mapping $u \rightarrow u_h$ is bounded in L_:

$$(0.5) ||u_{h}||_{L_{\infty}(0,T;L_{\infty}(\Omega))} \leq C ||u||_{L_{\infty}(0,T;L_{\infty}(\Omega))}$$

A direct consequence is

<u>Corollary 1:</u> Under the above assumptions the almost best error estimate in L_

$$(0.6) \| u - u_h \|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;L_{\infty}(\Omega))}$$

$$\leq (1+C) \inf_{\substack{O \\ X \in S_{h}}} \|u-X\|_{L_{\infty}(O,T;L_{\infty}(\Omega))}$$

is valid.

Without aiming for completeness we refer to earlier work on maximum norm error estimates:

Bramble-Schatz-Thomée-Wahlbin [1], Dobrowolski [3], [4], Nitsche [6], Schatz-Thomée-Wahlbin [8], Thomée [9], [10], Wahlbin [11] and Wheeler [12]

1. NOTATIONS, FINITE ELEMENTS

In the following $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \leq 3)$ denotes a bounded domain with boundary ∂_{Ω} sufficiently smooth. For any $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ let $W_{p}^{k}(\Omega')$ be the Sobolev space of functions having L_{p} -integrable generalized derivatives of order up to k. The norms are indicated by the corresponding subscripts. Moreover Ω' is skipped in case of $\Omega' = \Omega$.

The use of weighted norms resp. semi-norms will be essential. They are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \|\nabla^{k} v\|_{\alpha \cdot \Omega'} = \left\{ \sum_{\substack{|\varsigma|=k}} \iint_{\Omega'} \mu^{-\alpha} |D^{\varsigma} v|^{2} dx \right\}^{1/2}$$

with μ given by

(1.2)
$$\mu = \mu(x) = |x-x_0|^2 + \rho^2$$

 $(x_{o} \in \overline{\Omega}, p > 0)$. The boundary semi-norms $|\nabla^{k}(\cdot)|_{\alpha \cdot \Omega'}$ are defined in the corresponding way.

NITSCHE AND WHEELER

By Γ_h a subdivision of Ω into generalized simplices is meant, i.e. $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ is a simplex if Δ intersects $\partial\Omega$ in at most a finite number of points and otherwise one of the faces of Δ may be curved. Γ_h is called x-regular if to any $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ there are two spheres of diameter $\chi^{-1}h$ and h such that Δ contains the one and is contained in the other.

The finite element spaces $S_h = S(\Gamma_h)$ we will work with have the following structure: Let m be an integer fixed. Any element $\chi \in S_h$ is continuous in Ω and the restriction to $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ is a polynomial of degree less than m. In curved elements we use isoparametric modifications as discussed by Ciarlet-Raviart [2], Zlamal [13]. S_h is the intersection of S_h and W_2^1 , the closure in W_2^1 of the functions with compact support.

By construction we have $S_h \subset W_2^1$ but in general $S_h \not\subset W_2^k$ for $k \ge 2$. It will be useful to introduce the spaces $W'_k = W'_k(\Gamma_h)$ consisting of functions the restriction of which to any $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ is in $W_2^k(\Delta)$. Obviously $S_h \subset W'_k$ for all k.

Parallel to above we introduce 'broken' semi-norms

$$(1.3) \|\nabla^{k} v\|_{\alpha}^{\prime} = \left\{ \sum_{\Delta \in \Gamma_{h}} \|\nabla^{k} v\|_{\alpha}^{2} \Delta \right\}^{1/2} ,$$

$$(1.4) \|\nabla^{k} v\|_{\alpha}^{\prime} = \left\{ \sum_{\Delta \in \Gamma_{h}} \|\nabla^{k} v\|_{\alpha}^{2} \Delta \right\}^{1/2} .$$

The (semi)-scalar-products corresponding to (1.3) resp. (1.4) are denoted by $(.,.)'_{\alpha}$ resp. $<.,.>'_{\alpha}$. Schwarz' inequality in the form

$$(1.5) \qquad |(v,w)_{\alpha}| \leq ||v||_{\alpha+\beta} ||w||_{\alpha-\beta}$$

will be used quite often. We remark also the obvious inequality for $\beta > 0$

$$(1.6) \|v\|_{\alpha+\beta} \le \rho^{-\beta} \|v\|_{\alpha}$$

2. APPROXIMATION THEORY OF FINITE ELEMENTS IN WEIGHTED NORMS

In this section we put together some direct and inverse theorems of constructive function theory for finite elements in weighted norms. The proofs are given in [6] resp. [7]. In the formulation we restrict ourselves to the one which is needed in the subsequent sections. c will denote a numerical constant which may differ at different places. If it is desirable we use the numbering c_1, c_2, \ldots . Of course the constants will depend on (i) the dimension N, (ii) the domain Ω , (iii) the degree m of the finite elements, (iv) the regularity parameter κ of the subdivisions Γ_h , (v) the index - we will use in this section the letter β - of the weighted norms.

N is restricted to N ≤ 3 , Ω is a domain fixed as well as m is an integer fixed. We assume the subdivisions $\Gamma_{\rm h}$ to be x-regular with x fixed. Finally the weight-indices will be in a fixed interval - see the next sections. With respect to these restrictions the c's are 'numerical' constants.

$$\|\nabla(\mu^{-\beta}\varphi-\chi)\|_{-\beta} + h^{1/2} \|\nabla(\mu^{-\beta}\varphi-\chi)\|_{-\beta}' + h\|\nabla^{2}(\mu^{-\beta}\varphi-\chi)\|_{-\beta}' \leq c \frac{h}{p} \{\|\varphi\|_{\beta+1} + \|\nabla\varphi\|_{\beta}\}$$

Finally we recall (Corollary 1 in [6]):

Lemma 2.6: There is a constant \underline{c} such that for $\underline{c} \ h \le \rho$ the L₂-projection admits:

$$(2.8) \frac{\|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{\beta} + \mathbf{h}\|\nabla(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v})\|_{\beta}}{\leq c \inf\{\|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{\chi}\|_{\beta} + \mathbf{h}\|\nabla(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{\chi})\|_{\beta} |\mathbf{\chi} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{h}}\}}$$

3. SHIFT-THEOREMS

In Section 5 we will need a certain shift theorem in weighted norms. In order not to interrupt the context there this is discussed in the present section.

We introduce the Hilbert-scale $\{H_k \mid k \ge 0\}$ in the following way: Let $\{v_i, \lambda_i\}$ be the orthonormal set of eigen-pairs of the Laplacian, i.e.

$$-\Delta v_i = \lambda_i v_i$$
 in Ω ,

 $v_i = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

Any $z \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ admits the representation

 $(3.2) z = \Sigma z_i v_i$

with

(3.1)

(3.3) $z_i = (z, v_i)$.

332

In addition Parseval's equation holds:

(3.4)
$$||z||^2 = \sum z_i^2$$

Now H_{ir} is the subspace of functions such that

(3.5)
$$||z||_{k}^{2} = \sum \lambda_{1}^{k} z_{1}^{2}$$

is finite.

<u>Remark:</u> Since we have accepted only $z \in L_2$ the index k has to be non-negative.

For integer $k \le 4$ - only these values will be relevant - the spaces H_k are connected with the usual Sobolev-spaces W_2^m by:

$$H_{0} = L_{2}, H_{1} = \overset{01}{W}_{2}^{1}, H_{2} = \overset{01}{W}_{2}^{1} \cap \overset{2}{W}_{2}^{2},$$

$$(3.6) \quad H_{3} = \left\{ z \mid z \in H_{2} \land \Delta z \in H_{1} \right\},$$

$$H_{4} = \left\{ z \mid z \in H_{2} \land \Delta z \in H_{2} \right\}.$$

The ${\rm H}_k$ -norms are equivalent in these spaces to the corresponding ${\rm W}_2^k$ -norms.

If z = z(t) is an element of H_k for almost every 0 < t < T and the H_k -norm is L_2 -integrable with respect to t we will use the notation

$$(3.7) ||z||_{L_{2}(H_{k})}^{2} = ||z||_{L_{2}(0,T;H_{k})}^{2} = \int_{0}^{T} ||z(t)||_{H_{k}}^{2} dt$$

For the sake of completeness we will give the proof of the standard shift-theorem:

FINITE ELEMENT GALERKIN OPERATOR

÷.

Theorem 3.1: Let the operator A be defined by

$$\begin{array}{rll} & Az = \dot{z} - \Delta z & \text{in} & \Omega \times (0, T) , \\ (3.8) & z = 0 & \text{on} & \partial\Omega \times (0, T) , \\ & z_{t=0} = 0 & \text{in} & \Omega \end{array}$$

Then A is a bijective mapping of
$$L_2(H_{k+2}) \cap \{z \mid \dot{z} \in L_2(H_k)\}$$
 to $L_2(H_k)$ and

$$(3.9) ||z||_{L_{2}(H_{k+2})} \le ||Az||_{L_{2}(H_{k})}$$

<u>Proof:</u> Let z_i resp. f_i denote the 'Fourier'coefficients of z resp. Az with respect to $\{v_i\}$. Multiplication of (3.8.1) with v_i and integration over Ω leads to the uncoupled first order system

(3.10)
$$\dot{z}_{i} + \lambda_{i} z_{i} = f_{i}$$
 for $0 < t < T$,
 $z_{i}(0) = 0$

the solution of which is

(3.11)
$$z_{i}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda_{i}(t-s)} f_{i}(s) ds$$

Application of Schwarz' inequality in the proper way gives

$$|\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{i}}|^{2} \leq \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}(t-s)} f_{\mathbf{i}}^{2} ds \right\} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}(t-s)} ds \right\}$$

(3.12)

$$\leq \lambda_{i}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda_{i}(t-s)} f_{i}^{2} ds$$

(3.13) $T_{i} z_{i}^{2} dt \leq \lambda_{i}^{-1} \int_{0}^{T} f_{i}^{2}(s) ds \int_{s}^{T} e^{-\lambda_{i}(t-s)} dt$ $\leq \lambda_{i}^{-2} \int_{1}^{T} f_{i}^{2} ds \quad .$

and further by interchanging the order of integration

Because of the definition of the H_k - resp. $L_2(H_k)$ -norms (3.9) is proven.

In Section 2 we discussed weights depending only on $x \in \Omega$. It will be advantageous to work also with time-dependent weights (for a different approach see Dobrowolski [5]): Let $t_0 > 0$ be fixed. For $0 < t \le t_0$ we define - see (1.2)

$$(3.14) \quad \mu = \mu(x,t) = |x-x_0|^2 + \rho^2 + t_0 - t$$

Since there will be no confusion we use the same letter as in Section 2. Obviously all the lemmata and corollaries of Section 2 remain valid with the new weight μ except Lemma 2.2. For $t < t_0$ then ρ^2 in (2.2) has to be replaced by $\rho^2 + t_0 - t$. But we will apply this lemma only for $t = t_0$.

In order to shorten the notations we will write

(3.15) $|||z|||_{\beta}^{2} = \int_{0}^{0} ||z||_{\beta}^{2} dt$

with $t_0 > 0$ fixed. The constants in the estimates will not depend on t_0 .

The counterpart of Theorem 3.1 is

The proof is straight-forward by induction. We will give the details only for k = 2, 3.

By comparing - for t fixed - the β -norm of a second derivative of z with the L_2 -norm of the corresponding derivative of $\mu^{-\beta/2}z$ we get

$$(3.17) \|\nabla^{2}z\|_{\beta}^{2} \leq 2\|\nabla^{2}(\mu^{-\beta/2}z)\|_{L_{2}}^{2} + c\{\|\nabla z\|_{\beta+1}^{2} + \|z\|_{\beta+2}^{2}\}$$

leading to

$$(3.18) \quad |||\nabla^{2}z|||_{\beta}^{2} \leq c \left\{ ||\mu^{-\beta/2}z||_{L_{2}(H_{2})}^{2} + |||\nabla z|||_{\beta+1}^{2} + |||z|||_{\beta+2}^{2} \right\}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$(3.19) \| \mu^{-\beta/2} z \|_{L_{2}(H_{2})} \leq \| A(\mu^{-\beta/2} z) \|_{L_{2}(H_{0})}$$

Differentiation gives

$$A(\mu^{-\beta/2}z) = \mu^{-\beta/2}\dot{z} + \beta/2 \ \mu^{-\beta/2-1}z - \mu^{-\beta/2}\Delta z - \nabla(\mu^{-\beta/2})\nabla z - (\Delta\mu^{-\beta/2})z$$

$$(3.20)$$

$$\leq \mu^{-\beta/2}Az + c\{\mu^{-\beta/2-1/2}|\nabla z| + \mu^{-\beta/2-1}|z|\} \cdot$$

In this way we get

 $(3.21) ||A(\mu^{-\beta/2}z)||_{L_{2}(H_{0})} \leq c \{ ||Az||_{\beta} + ||\nabla z||_{\beta+1} + ||z||_{\beta+2} \}.$ Thus (3.16) is proven for k = 2.

Instead of
$$(3.18)$$
 we get in case of $k = 3$

Application of Theorem 3.1 to the first term on the right hand side leads similar to above to

$$|||\nabla^{3}z|||_{\beta} \leq c \left\{ |||\nabla Az|||_{\beta} + |||Az|||_{\beta+1} + |||\nabla^{2}z|||_{\beta+1} + ||\nabla^{2}z|||_{\beta+1} + |||\nabla z|||_{\beta+2} + |||z|||_{\beta+3} \right\}$$

The third term on the right hand side is covered by the others because of Theorem 3.2 for k = 2. In this way the theorem is proven for k = 3.

The term with the first derivatives of z in (3.16) is always covered by the other terms because of <u>Lemma 3.3:</u> Let A be defined by (3.8) and $\gamma \neq 0$. Then (3.24) $\||\nabla z\||_{\gamma} \leq c \{ \||Az|\|_{\gamma-1} + \||z|\|_{\gamma+1} \}$. <u>Proof:</u> It is (Az,z)_{\gamma} = (z, z)_{\gamma} + D(z, $\mu^{-\gamma}z$) (3.25) = $\partial_t (\frac{1}{2} ||z||_{\gamma}^2) - \frac{\gamma}{2} ||z||_{\gamma+1}^2 + \|\nabla z\|_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \iint z^2 \Delta \mu^{-\gamma}$. Integration with respect to t gives

$$\frac{1}{2} ||z(t_{0})||_{\gamma}^{2} + |||\nabla z|||_{\gamma}^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} (Az, z)_{\gamma} dt + c|||z|||_{\gamma+1}^{2}$$

$$(3.26) \leq c \left\{ |||Az|||_{\gamma-1}^{2} + |||z|||_{\gamma+1}^{2} \right\} .$$

4. A PRIORI ESTIMATES FOR THE GALERKIN SOLUTION IN WEIGHTED NORMS

It will be advantageous to derive the estimates for the difference

$$(4.1) \qquad \Phi = u_h - P_h u$$

of the Galerkin solution u_h and the L_2 -projection of u - see (0.4). We mention the initial condition

$$(4.2) \qquad \Phi_{t=0} = 0$$

because of (0.3). The right hand side of (0.2) can be rewritten in the form

(4.3)
$$(f,\chi) = (\dot{u},\chi) + D(u,\chi)$$

= $((P_h u),\chi) + D(P_h u,\chi) + D(u-P_h u,\chi)$

Thus we get the defining relation for Φ

(4.4)
$$(\mathbf{\check{e}}, \chi) + D(\mathbf{\check{e}}, \chi) = D(\mathbf{\check{e}}, \chi)$$
 for $\chi \in S_h$ and
t $\in (0,T]$

with the abbreviation

 $(4.5) \qquad \varepsilon = u - P_h u .$

Our aim is to derive a series of inequalities for $_{\Phi}$ resp. $_{\nabla\Phi}$ in weighted norms. The weight function $_{\mu}$ is the one defined by (3.14) with some t_0 fixed. t will be in the range 0 < t < t_0.

In view of Lemma 2.2 we try to find a bound for $\| \Phi \|_{\alpha}^{2}$ at $t = t_{0}$. In order to do this we consider (4.6) $(\| \Phi \|_{\alpha}^{2})^{*} = 2(\Phi, \mu^{-\alpha} \Phi) + \alpha \| \Phi \|_{\alpha+1}^{2}$.

Let $\chi = P_h(\mu^{-\alpha} \Phi)$ be the L₂-projection of $\mu^{-\alpha} \Phi$. By the aid of (4.4) we get

$$(\dot{\phi}, \mu^{-\alpha} \phi) = (\dot{\phi}, \chi)$$

$$= -D(\phi, \chi) + D(\epsilon, \chi)$$

$$= -D(\phi, \mu^{-\alpha} \phi) + D(\epsilon, \mu^{-\alpha} \phi) + D(\epsilon, \mu^{-\alpha} \phi) + D(\phi, \mu^{-\alpha} \phi - \chi) - D(\epsilon, \mu^{-\alpha} \phi - \chi)$$

$$=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 .$$

We will analyze the four terms separately. By partial integration we get

$$J_{1} = - \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} - \iint \Phi \nabla \Phi \nabla \mu^{-\alpha}$$

$$(4.8) = - \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \iint \Phi^{2} \Delta \mu^{-\alpha}$$

$$\leq - \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} + c \|\Phi\|_{\alpha+1}^{2}.$$

Since we want to avoid derivatives of u resp. ϵ we have to use partial integration for $\rm J_2$:

$$J_{2} = \sum_{\Delta \in \Gamma_{h}} \left\{ \langle \varepsilon, (\mu^{-\alpha} \Phi)_{n} \rangle_{\Delta} - (\varepsilon, \Delta(\mu^{-\alpha} \Phi))_{\Delta} \right\}$$
(4.9)

The counterpart of (1.5) leads to

$$(4.10) \qquad |J_{2.1}| \leq \sum_{\Delta} |\epsilon|_{\alpha \cdot \Delta} |(\mu^{-\alpha}_{\Phi})_n|_{-\alpha \cdot \Delta}$$

Because of

(4.11)
$$\mu^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mu^{-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}})_{n}^{2} \leq c \left\{ \mu^{-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{n}^{2} + \mu^{-\boldsymbol{\alpha}-1}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}^{2} \right\}$$

we get by the aid of Schwarz' inequality

$$(4.12) |J_{2.1}| \le c |\varepsilon|_{\alpha} \left\{ |\Phi|_{\alpha+1} + |\nabla \Phi|_{\alpha} \right\}$$

Finally using the inverse properties (Lemma and Corollary 2.4) we get with $0 < \delta < 1$

$$(4.13) |J_{2.1}| \leq \delta \left\{ \| \Phi \|_{\alpha+1}^{2} + \| \nabla \Phi \|_{\alpha}^{2} \right\} + c \, \delta^{-1} h^{-1} |\varepsilon|_{\alpha}^{2}$$

In the same way we come to

$$|J_{2,2}| \leq ||\varepsilon||_{\alpha} ||\Delta(\mu^{-\alpha_{\Phi}})||_{-\alpha}'$$

$$(4.14) \leq c||\varepsilon||_{\alpha} \{||\Phi||_{\alpha+2} + ||\nabla\Phi||_{\alpha+1} + ||\nabla^{2}\Phi||_{\alpha}'\} .$$

Once more the inverse relations give rise to

$$(4.15) |J_{2.2}| \leq \delta \left\{ \| \Phi \|_{\alpha+1}^2 + \| \nabla \Phi \|_{\alpha}^2 \right\} + c \delta^{-1} h^{-2} \| \varepsilon \|_{\alpha}^2 .$$

In view of Lemma 2.6 the super-approximability expressed in Lemma 2.5 is valid also with $\chi = P_h(\mu^{-\alpha}\Phi)$. This gives

$$|J_{\mathcal{Z}}| \leq \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha} \|\nabla (\mu^{-\alpha} \Phi - \chi)\|_{-\alpha}$$

$$(4.16) \leq c \frac{h}{\rho} \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha} \{\|\Phi\|_{\alpha+1} + \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha} \}$$

$$\leq c \frac{h}{\rho} \{\|\Phi\|_{\alpha+1}^{2} + \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} \}.$$

The remaining term ${\rm J}_4\,$ has to be treated like ${\rm J}_2$. The result is

$$|J_{4}| \leq \frac{h}{\rho} \left\{ \left\| \Phi \right\|_{\alpha+1}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \Phi \right\|_{\alpha}^{2} \right\} + c \left\{ h^{-1} \left\| \epsilon \right\|_{\alpha}^{2} + h^{-2} \left\| \epsilon \right\|_{\alpha}^{2} \right\}$$

Summarizing we come from (4.6) to

$$(\| \mathbf{\Phi} \|_{\alpha}^{2})^{\cdot} + 2 \| \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{\Phi} \|_{\alpha}^{2} \leq c_{1}(\delta + h/\rho) \| \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{\Phi} \|_{\alpha}^{2} + c_{2} \| \mathbf{\Phi} \|_{\alpha+1}^{2} + c_{\delta}^{-1} \{ h^{-1} \| \mathbf{\epsilon} \|_{\alpha}^{2} + h^{-2} \| \mathbf{\epsilon} \|_{\alpha}^{2} \}.$$

<u>Remark:</u> The two numbered constants will be of special relevance.

Now we choose $\delta = 1/(2c_1)$ and have

Step 1: Let $\rho \ge 2c_1h$. Then

$$(\|\Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2})^{*} + \|\nabla\Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} \leq c_{2}\|\Phi\|_{\alpha+1}^{2} + c\left\{h^{-1}\|\varepsilon\|_{\alpha}^{2} + h^{-2}\|\varepsilon\|_{\alpha}^{2}\right\}$$

$$(4.19)$$

In the next step we treat the term $\|\mathbf{\Phi}\|_{\mathbf{a}+1}^2$ on the right hand side. Similar to the \mathcal{L}_{∞} -analysis for elliptic problems we apply standard duality arguments (see Dobrowolski []): In view of (4.6) we are actual interested in the term $\|\|\mathbf{\Phi}\|\|_{\mathbf{a}+1}^2$. In order to treat it we would like to introduce an auxiliary function w by

(4.20)
$$A^* w = \mu^{-\alpha - 1} \Phi$$

with A^* the adjoint of A (3.8). Then we would get

(4.21)

$$\|\|\Phi\|\|_{\alpha+1}^2 = \int_0^t (\Phi, A^* w) dt$$
$$= \int_0^t (A\Phi, w) dt$$

which could be handled by using the defining relation (4.4) for \clubsuit . For technical reasons we need w $\in L_2(H_{4})$ but the shift theorem gives because of our assumption $\overset{O}{S}_{h} \subseteq H_1$ only w $\in L_2(H_3)$. Therefore an additional smoothing is necessary. Postponing the proof to Section 5 we will use

Lemma 4.1: Let g be in the range $|\beta| \le 2N$. For $\vartheta > 0$ given to any $\varphi \in S_h$ there are approximations $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi_{\vartheta}$ according to: $\tilde{\varphi} \in H_2$ and

$$\| \overline{\Phi} - \widetilde{\Phi} \|_{\beta} \leq \Theta \| \| \overline{\Phi} \|_{\beta} ,$$

$$(4.22) \qquad \| \nabla (\Phi - \widetilde{\Phi}) \|_{\beta} \leq \Theta \| \nabla \Phi \|_{\beta} ,$$

$$\| \nabla^{2} \Phi \|_{\beta} \leq c (h \Theta)^{-1} \| \nabla \Phi \|_{\beta}$$

In our further analysis any $\vartheta < 1$ will be sufficient. We fix $\vartheta = 1/2$ and write $\widetilde{\vartheta} = \overline{\vartheta}_{1/2}$.

In accordance to (4.20) we define w by

 $(4.23) \quad \begin{array}{l} & -\dot{w} - \Delta w = \mu^{-\alpha - 1} \widetilde{\Phi} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t_{0}) \quad , \\ & w = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, t_{0}) \quad , \\ & w_{t=t_{0}} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega \quad . \end{array}$

Then we have

$$\| \Phi \|_{\alpha+1}^{2} = (\Phi, \Phi - \widetilde{\Phi})_{\alpha+1} + (\Phi, \widetilde{\Phi})_{\alpha+1}$$

$$\leq \| \Phi \|_{\alpha+1} \| \Phi - \widetilde{\Phi} \|_{\alpha+1} + (\Phi, \widetilde{\Phi})_{\alpha+1}$$

and because of the choice of $\$

$$(4.25) \qquad \|\phi\|_{\alpha+1}^2 \le 2(\phi, \widetilde{\phi})_{\alpha+1}$$

and further

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{\Phi} \right\|_{\mathbf{a}^{+1}}^{2} \leq -(\mathbf{\dot{w}}, \mathbf{\Phi}) + \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{\Phi})$$

(4.26)

$$= -(w, \Phi)^{\circ} + (\dot{\Phi}, w) + D(\Phi, w)$$

Because of the defining relation (4.4) we get for $\chi \in {\rm S}_{\rm h}$ arbitrary

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Phi\|_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}+1}^2 \leq -(\Phi, w) \cdot + (\Phi, w - \chi) + D(\Phi, w - \chi) =: J_5 + J_6 + J_7 + J_8 + J_9 \cdot$$

At the end we will integrate with respect to t from 0 to t_0 . Because of (4.2) and (4.233) we have

(4.28)
$$\int_{0}^{0} J_{5} dt = 0$$
.

Next we choose $\chi = P_h w$. Then

$$(4.29)$$
 $J_6 = 0$

is the consequence. In the standard way - remembering that the degree m of the spaces $\overset{O}{s}_{h}$ is at least 4 - we get

 $|J_{7}| \leq ||\nabla \Phi||_{\alpha} ||\nabla (w-\chi)||_{-\alpha}$

(4.30)

$$\leq \delta \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} + c \delta^{-1} h^{6} \|\nabla^{4} w\|_{-\alpha}$$

In order to get a bound for $\ensuremath{\mathsf{J}}_{\ensuremath{\boldsymbol{\delta}}}$ we apply partial integration:

$$(4.31) J_8 = (\varepsilon, -\Delta w) .$$

 ϵ = u-P_hu (4.5) is orthogonal to $\overset{o}{s}_h$. Therefore with $\Psi \in \overset{o}{s}_h$ arbitrary

$$(4.32) J_8 = (\varepsilon, -\Delta w + \Psi) .$$

For t fixed Δw is an element of W_2^1 and thus can be approximated according to Lemma 2.3 giving with ψ chosen appropriately

$$|J_8| \le c \|\varepsilon\|_{\alpha} h^2 \|\nabla^2(\Delta w)\|_{-\alpha}$$

(4.33)

$$\leq c h^{-2} \|\varepsilon\|_{\alpha}^{2} + h^{6} \|\nabla^{4}w\|_{-\alpha}^{2}$$

The treatment of $\rm J_9$ is parallel to that of $\rm J_4$ and not repeated in detail. We come to

$$(4.34) |J_9| \leq c \left\{ h^{-1} |\varepsilon|_{\alpha}^{\prime 2} + h^{-2} ||\varepsilon||_{\alpha}^{2} \right\} + h^{6} ||\nabla^4 w||_{-\alpha}^{2}$$

Summarizing we have derived

$$\| \Phi \|_{\alpha+1}^2 \leq 2 \delta \| \nabla \Phi \|_{\alpha}^2 +$$
(4.35)

 $c_{\delta}\left\{h^{-1}\left|\epsilon\right|_{\alpha}^{\prime 2}+h^{-2}\left\|\epsilon\right\|_{\alpha}^{2}+h^{6}\left\|\nabla^{4}w\right\|_{-\alpha}^{2}\right\} .$

By comparison of (4.35) with (4.19) we choose δ = $1/(4c_{\rm Q})$ and get

Step 2: Let $p \ge 2c_1h$. Then

$$(\| \Phi \|_{\alpha}^{2})^{*} + \| \nabla \Phi \|_{\alpha}^{2} + \| \Phi \|_{\alpha+1}^{2}$$

$$(4.36)$$

$$\leq c \left\{ -(w, \Phi)^{*} + h^{-1} | \epsilon |_{\alpha}^{*2} + h^{-2} \| \epsilon \|_{\alpha}^{2} + h^{6} \| \nabla^{4} w \|_{-\alpha}^{2} \right\}$$

In the next section we will give the proof of Lemma 4.2: Let α be in the range $0 < \alpha < 3$. Then (4.37) $\|\|\nabla^4 w\|\|_{-\alpha} \le c h^{-1} \rho^{-2} \{\|\|\Phi\|\|_{\alpha+1} + \|\|\nabla\Phi\|\|_{\alpha} \}$. By the aid of this lemma we get finally Step 3: For $\rho = \gamma h$ with γ chosen appropriately $\|\Phi(t_{o})\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \||\nabla\Phi\|\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \||\Phi\|\|_{\alpha+1}^{2}$ (4.38) $\le c \int_{0}^{t_{o}} \{h^{-1} \|\epsilon\|_{\alpha}^{+2} + h^{-2} \|\epsilon\|_{\alpha}^{2} \} dt$.

Now let us assume

(4.39)
$$\alpha > N/2 + 1$$

Then we get

(4

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\| \varepsilon \right\| \right\|_{\alpha}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{t_{0}} dt \iint_{\Omega} \mu^{-\alpha} \varepsilon^{2} dx \\ &\leq \left\| \varepsilon \right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2} (L_{\infty}) \int_{0}^{t_{0}} dt \iint_{R} \frac{r^{N-1} dr d \theta}{(r^{2} + \rho^{2} + t_{0} - t)^{\alpha}} \\ &\leq c \left\| \varepsilon \right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2} (L_{\infty}) \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \frac{dt}{(\rho^{2} + t_{0} - t)^{\alpha - N/2}} \\ &\leq c \left\| \rho^{N+2-2\alpha} \right\| \varepsilon \right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2} (L_{\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the first term on the right hand side of (4.38) follows the same lines giving the common bound

(4.41) c h⁻²
$$\rho^{N+2-2\alpha} \|\varepsilon\|_{L_{\infty}(L_{\infty})}^{2}$$

It remains to apply Lemma 2.2. Since we have coupled ρ and h by $\rho = \gamma h$ we finally have

$$(4.42) \| \Phi(t_0) \|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c \| \epsilon \|_{L_{\infty}(0, t_0; L_{\infty}(\Omega))}$$

 P_h^u is bounded in L_∞^ω by u and thus also $\epsilon = u - P_h^u$. Therefore the main theorem is proven.

5. PROOF OF LEMMATA 4.1 AND 4.2

The smoothing process we will work with is the standard one (see Gilbarg-Trudinger [5], p. 140). Let w(x) be defined by

NITSCHE AND WHEELER

(5.1)
$$w(x) = \begin{cases} ce^{-1/(1-|x|^2)} & \text{for } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

with c according to

(5.2)
$$\iint w(x) dx = 1.$$

To any v ${\mbox{\ensuremath{\varepsilon}}}\ {\mbox{\sc L}}_1({\mbox{\sc R}}^N)$ we define

(5.3)
$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{k}^{-\mathbf{N}} \iint \mathbf{w} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{k}}\right) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Obviously we have $v_k \in \text{C}^\infty(\textbf{R}^N)$ and for $v \in \text{W}^1_\infty(\textbf{R}^N)$

(5.4)
$$|v(x) - v_k(x)| \le k ||\nabla v||_{L_{\infty}(B_k(x))}$$

with

(5.5)
$$B_{k}(x) = \{y \mid |x-y| < k\}$$

Further we mention for $v \in W_1^1$

$$(5.6) \qquad \qquad \partial_{i} v_{k} = J_{k}(\partial_{i} v)$$

and

(5.7)
$$|\partial_i v_k| \le c k^{-1} ||v||_{L_{\infty}(B_k(x))}$$

Now we turn over to the case $v=\phi\in\overset{0}{S}_{h}^{\circ}$. Because of $\overset{0}{S}_{h}\subseteq\overset{0}{\mathbb{W}}_{2}^{1}(\Omega)$ we extend ϕ to a function of $\overset{0}{\mathbb{W}}_{2}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ by defining $\phi=0$ outside of Ω . is valid.

Because of the x-regularity of $\Gamma_{\rm h}$ the number of $\Delta' \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}$ is uniformly bounded resp. $\{\Omega_{\Delta} | \Delta \in \Gamma_{\rm h}\}$ is a finite covering. This leads from (5.14) to

$$(5.15) \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{-}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}\|_{\beta} \leq c(\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{h}) \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{\beta}$$

The same arguments give

$$(5.16) \|\nabla(\varphi-\varphi_{\mathbf{k}})\|_{\beta} \leq c(\mathbf{k}/h) \|\nabla\varphi\|_{\beta}$$

Finally these arguments in connection with (5.7) show

$$(5.17) \|\nabla^2 \varphi_k\|_{\beta} \le c k^{-1} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{\beta}$$

In order to get the estimates of Lemma 4.1 we have to choose $k = c \ \theta h$ in the proper way.

In order to prove Lemma 4.2 we apply Theorem 3.2 in connection with Lemma 3.3:

$$\| \nabla^{4} w \|_{-\alpha} \leq c \left\{ \| \nabla^{2} (\mu^{-\alpha-1} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \|_{-\alpha} + \| \nabla (\mu^{-\alpha-1} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \|_{-\alpha+1} + \| \mu^{-\alpha-1} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \|_{-\alpha+2} + \| w \|_{-\alpha+4} \right\} .$$

We estimate the first term on the right hand side in the way - for t fixed:

$$\|\nabla^{2}(\mu^{-\alpha-1}\widetilde{\bullet})\| \leq c \int \mu^{\alpha} \{\mu^{-\alpha-1} | \nabla^{2} \widetilde{\bullet} | + \mu^{-\alpha-2} | \widetilde{\bullet} | \}^{2}$$

$$(5.19) \qquad \qquad + \mu^{-\alpha-1} | \nabla \widetilde{\bullet} | + \mu^{-\alpha-2} | \widetilde{\bullet} | \}^{2}$$

$$\leq c \{ \|\nabla^{2} \widetilde{\bullet}\|_{\alpha+2}^{2} + \|\nabla \widetilde{\bullet}\|_{\alpha+3} + \|\widetilde{\bullet}\|_{\alpha+4}^{2} \}$$

Let $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ be fixed for the moment. We define the neighbour-set

$$(5.8) \quad \mathbb{N}_{\Delta} = \left\{ \Delta' \mid \Delta' \in \Gamma_{h} \text{ and } \overline{\Delta}' \cap \overline{\Delta} \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

and

$$(5.9) \quad \Omega_{\Delta} = \bigcup \left\{ \overline{\Delta}' \mid \Delta' \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta} \right\}$$

If we impose the restriction

(5.10) $k < x^{-1}h$

then for $x \in \Delta$

 $(5.11) \qquad B_{k}(x) \subseteq \Omega_{A} \quad \cdot$

Restricted to any $\Delta' \in \Gamma_h$ the function φ is a polynomial of degree less than m. Any two norms in finite dimensional spaces are equivalent. Since Δ' is *n*-regular and of 'seize' h we have

$$(5.12) \quad \mathrm{c}^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{L}_{2}(\Delta)}^{2} \leq \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{N}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{L}_{\infty}(\Delta)}^{2} \leq \mathrm{c} \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{L}_{2}(\Delta)}^{2}$$

with c depending only on N, m and x.

Straight-forward we come from (5.4) to

(5.13)
$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi - \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}\|_{L_{2}(\Delta)}^{2} &\leq c \ \mathbf{k}^{2} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L_{2}(\Omega_{\Delta})}^{2} \\ &\leq c (\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{h})^{2} \|\varphi\|_{L_{2}(\Omega_{\Delta})}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

The weight function μ does not change too fast - see Lemma 1 of []. Therefore also

(5.14)
$$\iint_{\Delta} \mu^{-\beta} |\varphi - \varphi_{k}|^{2} d\mathbf{x} \leq c (k/h)^{2} \iint_{\Delta} \mu^{-\beta} \varphi^{2} d\mathbf{x}$$

Because of the choice of \mathfrak{F} and of (1.6) we get

$$(5.20) \|\nabla^{2}(\mu^{-\alpha-1}\widetilde{\Phi})\|_{-\alpha}^{2} \leq c h^{-1}\rho^{-2} \{\|\Phi\|_{\alpha+1}^{2} + \|\nabla\Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} \}$$

The second and third term on the right hand side of

(5.18) are bounded in the same way. Integration with respect to t shows that these terms are bounded according to Lemma 4.2.

It remains to analyze $\|\|w\|\|_{-\alpha+4}$. Let $\Gamma(x,y;t)$ be the fundamental solution of the heat equation, i.e. the solution of the initial-boundary value problem

(5.21)
$$z - \Delta z = f$$
 in $\Omega \times (0,T)$,
 $z = 0$ on $\partial \Omega \times (0,T)$,
 $z_{t=0} = 0$ in $\partial \Omega$

is given by

(5.22)
$$z(\mathbf{x},t) = \int_{\Omega}^{t} d\tau \iint_{\Omega} \Gamma(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t-\tau) f(\mathbf{y},\tau) d\mathbf{y}$$
.

Because of the maximum principle Γ is positive in $\Omega \propto (0,T)$. The function w defined by (4.23) has the representation

(5.23)
$$w(x,t) = \int_{t}^{0} d\tau \iint_{\Omega} \Gamma(x,y;\tau-t) \mu^{-\alpha-1} \widetilde{\phi} dy$$

We apply Schwarz' inequality in the form

(5.24)
$$w^{2} \leq \left\{ \int_{t}^{t} d\tau \iint_{\Omega} \Gamma \mu^{-\alpha-1} dy \right\} \times \left\{ \int_{t}^{t} d\tau \iint_{\Omega} \Gamma \mu^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{s}^{2} dy \right\}$$
$$= \sigma_{\alpha}^{(x,t)} \int_{t}^{0} d\tau \iint_{\Omega} \Gamma \mu^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{s}^{2} dy \quad .$$

The factor σ_{α} is the solution of

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{array}{c} -\sigma_{\alpha} - \Delta \sigma_{\alpha} = \mu^{-\alpha - 1} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, t_{o}) \quad , \\ \sigma_{\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times (0, t_{o}) \quad , \\ \sigma_{\alpha} | t = t_{o} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \quad . \end{array}$$

٠

Now

350

(5.26)
$$\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \rho^{-2\alpha} - (\rho^2 + t_0 - t)^{-\alpha} \right\}$$

is a super-solution and therefore

(5.27)
$$\sigma_{\alpha}(x,t) \leq \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}(t) < \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \rho^{-2\alpha}$$

Further we get by interchanging the order of integration

$$\|\|w\|\|_{-\alpha^{+4}}^{2} = \int_{0}^{0} dt \int_{\Omega} \mu^{\alpha^{-4}w^{2}} dx$$

$$\leq \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{0} d\tau \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-\alpha^{-1}} \widetilde{\Phi}^{2} dy \times$$
(5.28)
$$\int_{0}^{\tau} dt \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x,y;\tau^{-1}) \mu^{\alpha^{-4}} dx$$

$$:= \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \overline{\Sigma}_{\alpha} \|\|\widetilde{\Phi}\|\|_{\alpha^{+1}}^{2}$$

with

(5.29)
$$\overline{\Sigma}_{\alpha} \ge \sup \Sigma_{\alpha}(y,\tau)$$

and

(5.30)
$$\Sigma_{\alpha}(y,\tau) = \int_{\Omega} dt \iint_{\Omega} \Gamma(x,y;\tau-t) \mu^{\alpha-4} dt$$

The function $\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\!\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is the solution of

$$\begin{split} & \dot{\Sigma}_{\alpha} - \Delta \Sigma_{\alpha} = \mu^{\alpha - 4} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t_{0}) , \\ (5.31) & \Sigma_{\alpha} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, t_{0}) , \\ & \Sigma_{\alpha \mid t = 0} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega . \end{split}$$

In case $\alpha < 3$ then

(5.32)
$$\sum_{\alpha}^{n} = \frac{1}{3-\alpha} (p^{2}+t_{0}-t)^{\alpha-3}$$

is a supersolution and according to this

(5.33)
$$\overline{\Sigma}_{\alpha} := \frac{1}{\overline{3} - \alpha} \rho^{2\alpha - 6}$$

can be chosen according to (5.29). Thus

$$(5.34) \qquad ||w|||_{\alpha^{-4}} \le c \rho^{-3} |||_{\widetilde{\Phi}}||_{\alpha^{+1}}$$

is proven. Because of (4.22) $\stackrel{\sim}{\Phi}$ may be replaced by $_{\Phi}$ what finishes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research was initiated while the first author was visiting Rice University, made possible by Mobil Research Foundation. The second author was partially supported by NSF MCS 80-03025.

REFERENCES

- J.H. BRAMBLE, A. SCHATZ, V. THOMEE and L.B. WAHLBIN: Some convergence estimates for semidiscrete Galerkin type approximations for parabolic equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. <u>14</u>, (1977), 218-241.
- [2] P.G. CIARLET and P.-A. RAVIART: Interpolation theory over curved elements with applications to finite element methods. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. <u>1</u>, (1972), 217-249.
- [3] M. DOBROWOLSKI: Zur L[∞]-Konvergenz finiter Elemente bei parabolischen Differentialgleichungen. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. <u>2</u>, (1980), 221-234.

- [4] M. DOBROWOLSI: L[∞]-convergence of linear finite element approximation to nonlinear parabolic problems. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 17, (1980), 663-674.
- [5] D. GILBARG and N.S. TRUDINGER: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [6] J. NITSCHE: L -convergence of finite element Galerkin approximations for parabolic problems. R.A.I.R.O. <u>13</u>, (1979), 31-54.
- J. NITSCHE: Schauder estimates for finite element approximations on second order elliptic boundary value problems.
 Proceedings of the Special Year in Numerical Analysis, Lecture Notes No.20, Univ. of Maryland, I. Babuska, T.-P. Liu, J. Osborn eds., 1981, 290-343.
- [8] A.H. SCHATZ, V. THOMEE and L.B. WAHLBIN: Maximum norm stability and error estimates in parabolic finite element equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33, (1980), 265-304.
- [9] V. THOMEE: Spline approximation and difference schemes for the heat equation. The Mathematical Foundations of the Finite Element Method with Applications to Partial Differential Equations, A.K. Aziz ed., Academic Press, New York 1972, 711-746.
- [10] V. THOMEE: Some convergence results for Galerkin methods for parabolic boundary value problems, Mathematical Aspects of Finite Elements in Partial Differential Equations, C. DeBoor ed., Academic Press, New York 1974, 55-88.
- [11] L.B. WAHLBIN: A quasioptimal estimate in piecewise polynomial Galerkin approximation of parabolic problems, 9th Dundee Biennial Conference on Numerical Analysis, June 23-26, 1981, G.A. Watson ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 912. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1982, 230-245.

352

FINITE ELEMENT GALERKIN OPERATOR

- [12] M.F. WHEELER: L -estimates of optimal orders for Galerkin methods for one dimensional second order parabolic and hyperbolic equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. <u>10</u>, (1973), 908-913.
- [13] M. ZLAMAL: Curved elements in the finite element method. I. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 10, (1973), 229-240. II. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 11, (1974), 347-362.

Accepted: May 1982