

FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATIONS FOR SOLVING
THE ELASTIC PROBLEM

Joachim Nitsche

Finite element approximations for the first boundary value problem of elasticity are given which allow to use subspaces of functions not vanishing on the boundary. L_2 and L_∞ error estimates are derived.

1. The boundary value problem, variational formulation

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$ sufficiently smooth. We will work with vectors $\underline{v} = (v_1, v_2)$. In case $v_1 \in L_2 = L_2(\Omega)$ we write $\underline{v} \in \underline{L}_2 = L_2 \times L_2$. The meaning of \underline{W}_2^1 etc. is analogue. For simplicity we will also use the notation $\underline{H}_1 = \underline{W}_2^0$, $\underline{H}_2 = \underline{H}_1 \cap \underline{W}_2^2$. Correspondingly we define

$$(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = (u_i, v_i) \quad \|\underline{u}\| = (\underline{u}, \underline{u})^{1/2} .$$

(The summation convention is used throughout the paper). To a displacement-vector \underline{v} are associated the two tensors:

$$2\varepsilon_{ik}(\underline{v}) = v_{i,k} + v_{k,i} \quad ,$$

$$\sigma_{ik}(\underline{v}) = \lambda (v_{j,j}) \delta_{ik} + 2\mu \varepsilon_{ik} \quad .$$

Here $\#,_{i}$ denotes the partial derivatives, δ_{ik} is the Kronecker symbol and $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu > 0$ are the Lamé-constants. The first boundary value problems of elasticity is

given $\underline{f} \in \underline{L}_2$, find $\underline{u} \in \underline{H}_2$ such that

$$(1) \quad -\operatorname{div} \sigma(\underline{u}) = \underline{f} \quad : \quad -\sigma_{ik,k}(\underline{u}) = f_i \quad \text{in } \Omega .$$

We mention the shift theorem

THEOREM 1: For $f \in L_2$ the solution $u \in \underline{H}_2$ exists uniquely and

$$(2) \quad \|\underline{u}\|_{\underline{W}_2^1} \leq c \|\underline{f}\|_{\underline{L}_2} .$$

Here and later c is a numerical constant which may differ at different places.

The solution of (1) is equivalently characterized by

$$(3) \quad \underline{u} \in \underline{H}_1 \quad : \quad a_0(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = (\underline{f}, \underline{v}) \quad \text{for } \underline{v} \in \underline{H}_1$$

with

$$(4) \quad a_0(\underline{v}, \underline{w}) = (\sigma_{ik}(\underline{v}), \epsilon_{ik}(\underline{w})) \\ = \iint_{\Omega} \left\{ \lambda (v_{i,i}) (w_{k,k}) + 2\mu \epsilon_{ik}(\underline{v}) \epsilon_{ik}(\underline{w}) \right\} dx .$$

The form a_0 is symmetric, bounded and because of Korn's inequality coercive in \underline{H}_1 . As long as we are in $\underline{H}_1 = \underline{W}_2^1$ a_0 in (3) can be modified without influencing the solution \underline{u} by $-\underline{n}$ is the normal vector of $\partial\Omega$ -

$$(5) \quad a_1(\underline{v}, \underline{w}) = a_0(\underline{v}, \underline{w}) - \oint_{\partial\Omega} n_i \left\{ \sigma_{ik}(\underline{v}) w_k + \sigma_{ik}(\underline{w}) v_k \right\} ds , \\ a_2(\underline{v}, \underline{w}) = a_1(\underline{v}, \underline{w}) + K n^{-1} \oint v_i w_i ds .$$

These terms are motivated because of

LEMMA 1: Let \underline{u} be the solution of (1) and $\underline{w} \in \underline{W}_2^1$. Then for $i = 1, 2$

$$(6) \quad a_i(\underline{u}, \underline{w}) = (\underline{f}, \underline{w}) .$$

This relation is essential in deriving L_2 and L_∞ estimates, it is not true for the form a_0 .

2. Finite elements

By Γ_h a γ -regular subdivision of Ω with mesh-size h into generalized triangles will be denoted: For any $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ there are two spheres \underline{K}, \bar{K} with radii \underline{r}, \bar{r} such that $\underline{K} \subset \Delta \subset \bar{K}$ and $\gamma^{-1}h \leq \underline{r} < \bar{r} \leq h$ (for more details see CIARLET-RAVIART [1]).

Besides the usual Sobolev-norms we will need certain weighted norms. Let $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\rho > 0$. We use the weight-factor

$$p_\alpha(x) = \mu(x)^{-\alpha} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu(x) = |x-x_0|^2 + \rho^2$$

and define for any $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\alpha, \Omega'} &= \left\{ \iint_{\Omega'} p_\alpha v^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ \|\nabla^k v\|_{\alpha, \Omega'} &= \left\{ \sum_{|\kappa|=k} \|D^\kappa v\|_{\alpha, \Omega'}^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $\Omega' = \Omega$ we simply write $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$. The scalar-products are denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_\alpha$. If $T \subseteq \bar{\Omega}$ is a curve we use for the corresponding integrals the notation $|\cdot|_{\alpha, T}$ resp. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha, T}$ and drop T in case of $T = \partial\Omega$.

The functions we work with will have a reduced regularity across the edges of Γ_h . Therefore we introduce the spaces $h_{W_2^k}$ of functions v with $v|_\Delta \in W_2^k(\Delta)$ for $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ and define

$$(8) \quad \|\nabla^k v\|_\alpha^h = \left\{ \sum_{\Delta \in \Gamma_h} \|\nabla^k v\|_{\alpha, \Delta}^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

For simplicity we will consider in this paper only linear finite element spaces S_h , i.e. any $\chi \in S_h$ is continuous in Ω and piece-wise linear in $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$.

$S_h^0 \subseteq S_h$ is the subspace of functions vanishing in the nodes of Γ_h which are on $\partial\Omega$. The standard properties of S_h resp. S_h^0 used in the next sections are summarized in

THEOREM 2: There is a constant γ_1 such that for any γ -regular subdivision Γ_h and any ρ with $\rho \geq \gamma_1 h$ the propositions hold:

(i) To any $v \in W_2^1 \cap W_2^{h,k}$ ($k=1,2$) there is a $\chi \in S_h$ with

$$(9) \quad \|v-\chi\|_{\alpha} + h \|\nabla(v-\chi)\|_{\alpha} \leq c_1(\alpha) h^k \|\nabla^k v\|_{\alpha}^h .$$

(ii) For any $\chi \in S_h$

$$(10) \quad \|\nabla\chi\|_{\alpha} \leq c_2(\alpha) h^{-1} \|\chi\|_{\alpha} ,$$

$$|\nabla\chi|_{\alpha} \leq c_3(\alpha) h^{-1/2} \{ \|\chi\|_{\alpha} + \|\nabla\chi\|_{\alpha} \} .$$

(iii) For any $\chi \in S_h^0$

$$(11) \quad |\chi|_{\alpha} \leq c_4(\alpha) h^{3/2} \{ \|\chi\|_{\alpha} + \|\nabla\chi\|_{\alpha} \} .$$

The bounds $c_1(\alpha)$ depend only on α, γ, γ_1 and a bound of the curvature of $\partial\Omega$.

Remark: If $v \in H_1$ then the choice $\chi \in S_h^0$ is possible in assertion (i). In addition χ may be chosen according to

$$(12) \quad |\chi|_{\alpha} \leq c_5(\alpha) h^k \|\nabla^k v\|_{\alpha}^h .$$

For more details see NATTERER [1], NITSCHKE [1], [2] .

3. Finite element approximations, H_1 - and L_2 - error estimates

The solution \underline{u} of the boundary value problem (1) will be approximated by an element $\underline{u}_h \in \underline{S}_h = S_h \times S_h$. Though the functions in S_h are not exactly zero on $\partial\Omega$ the forms a_0, a_1, a_2 are positive definite in S_h^0 . The finite element approximations $\underline{u}_h^{(i)}$ are defined by

$$\underline{u}_h^{(1)} \in \underline{S}_h^0 : a_1(\underline{u}_h^{(1)}, \underline{\chi}) = (\underline{f}, \underline{\chi}) \quad \text{for } \underline{\chi} \in \underline{S}_h^0 \quad (i=0,1) \quad (13)$$

$$\underline{u}_h^{(2)} \in \underline{S}_h : a_2(\underline{u}_h^{(2)}, \underline{\chi}) = (\underline{f}, \underline{\chi}) \quad \text{for } \underline{\chi} \in S_h .$$

For K - see (5) - sufficiently large $a_2(\underline{\chi}, \underline{\chi})^{1/2}$ is in \underline{S}_h a norm equivalent to

$$\|\underline{\chi}\|_{\underline{W}_2} + n^{-1/2} |\underline{\chi}| ,$$

therefore also $\underline{u}_h^{(2)}$ is well-defined.

By standard arguments we get immediately for the errors $e^{(1)} = e_h^{(1)} = \underline{u} - \underline{u}_h^{(1)}$:

THEOREM 3: Assume $f \in L_2$ resp. $u \in H_2$. The errors in the energy norm are bounded by

$$\|\underline{e}_h^{(i)}\|_{\underline{W}_2} \leq c n \|f\| \quad (i = 0,1,2) , \quad (14)$$

in the L_2 -norm the bounds differ

$$\|\underline{e}_h^{(0)}\| \leq c n^{3/2} \|f\| , \quad (15)$$

$$\|\underline{e}_h^{(1)}\| \leq c n^2 \|f\| \quad (i = 1,2) .$$

The approximation $u_h^{(1)}$ seems to be of most interest. In this case we have in addition

$$(16) \quad |e_h^{(1)}| \leq c h^2 \|\underline{f}\| \quad .$$

4. Error-estimates in weighted norms

In this and the next section we restrict ourselves to the bilinear form a_1 and drop here as well as in $u_h^{(1)}$ the index 1. We will need

LEMMA 2: Let $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in H_1 \cup \overset{\circ}{S}_h$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|a(\underline{v}, \underline{w})| \leq c \|\nabla \underline{v}\|_{\alpha} \|\nabla \underline{w}\|_{-\alpha} \quad .$$

LEMMA 3: Let $\underline{v} \in H_1$ resp. $\underline{v} \in \overset{\circ}{S}_h$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|\nabla \underline{v}\|_{\alpha}^2 \leq c \{a(\underline{v}, u^{-\alpha} \underline{v}) + \|\underline{v}\|_{\alpha+1}^2\} \quad .$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is straight-forward. Korn's inequality applied to $\underline{w} = u^{-\alpha/2} \underline{v}$ and standard estimates give Lemma 3.

By definition of $u_h = u_h^{(1)}$ we have for $\underline{e} = e_h^{(1)}$

$$(17) \quad a(\underline{e}, \underline{\chi}) = 0 \quad \text{for } \underline{\chi} \in \overset{\circ}{S}_h \quad .$$

Now let \underline{U}_h be an appropriate approximation on \underline{u} according to Theorem 2 with error $\underline{E} = \underline{E}_h = \underline{u} - \underline{U}_h$. Then we have $\underline{e} = \underline{E} - \underline{\Phi}$ with $-\underline{\Phi} = \underline{U}_h - u_h \in \overset{\circ}{S}_h$ and

$$(18) \quad a(\underline{\Phi}, \underline{\chi}) = a(\underline{E}, \underline{\chi}) \quad \text{for } \underline{\chi} \in \overset{\circ}{S}_h \quad .$$

Using Lemma 3 we derive with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\underline{\chi} \in \overset{\circ}{S}_h$

$$\begin{aligned}
(19) \quad \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha}^2 &\leq c \left\{ a(\underline{\Phi}, \mu^{-\alpha} \underline{\Phi} - \underline{\chi}) - a(\underline{E}, \mu^{-\alpha} \underline{\Phi} - \underline{\chi}) + a(\underline{E}, \mu^{-\alpha} \underline{\Phi}) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha+1}^2 \right\} \\
&\leq c \left\{ \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha} + \|\nabla \underline{E}\|_{\alpha} \right\} \|\nabla(\mu^{-\alpha} \underline{\Phi} - \underline{\chi})\|_{-\alpha} \\
&\quad + c \|\nabla \underline{E}\|_{\alpha} \|\nabla(\mu^{-\alpha} \underline{\Phi})\|_{-\alpha} + c \|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha+1}^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

Application of $2|ab| \leq \delta a^2 + \delta^{-1} b^2$ in a proper way gives

$$(20) \quad \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha}^2 \leq c \left\{ \|\nabla \underline{E}\|_{\alpha}^2 + \|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha+1}^2 + \|\nabla(\mu^{-\alpha} \underline{\Phi} - \underline{\chi})\|_{-\alpha}^2 \right\} .$$

Since $\underline{\Phi}$ is piecewise linear we have by means of Theorem 2 with $\underline{\chi}$ properly chosen

$$\begin{aligned}
(21) \quad \|\nabla(\mu^{-\alpha} \underline{\Phi} - \underline{\chi})\|_{-\alpha} &\leq c h \|\nabla^2(\mu^{-\alpha} \underline{\Phi})\|_{-\alpha}^h \\
&\leq c h (\|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha+2} + \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha+1}) \\
&\leq c h \rho^{-1} (\|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha+1} + \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha}) .
\end{aligned}$$

Now we impose the condition $\rho \geq \gamma_2 h$ with $\gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1$ and such that the constant in (20) is less than γ_2 . Then we get

$$(22) \quad \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha} \leq c \left\{ \|\nabla \underline{E}\|_{\alpha} + \|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\alpha+1} \right\} .$$

Now let $\underline{w} \in \underline{H}_2$ be the solution of

$$(23) \quad -\nabla \sigma(\underline{w}) = \mu^{-\alpha-1} \underline{\Phi} : -\sigma_{ik,k}(\underline{w}) = \mu^{-\alpha-1} \varphi_1 .$$

Then we have with an arbitrary $\underline{\chi} \in \overset{\circ}{\underline{S}}_h$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\underline{\phi}\|_{\alpha+1}^2 &= a(\underline{\phi}, \underline{w}) \\ &= a(\underline{\phi}, \underline{w} - \underline{\chi}) - a(\underline{E}, \underline{w} - \underline{\chi}) + a(\underline{E}, \underline{w}) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The last term may be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} a(\underline{E}, \underline{w}) &= (\underline{E}, \mu^{-\alpha-1} \underline{\phi}) \\ &\leq \|\underline{E}\|_{\alpha+1} \|\underline{\phi}\|_{\alpha+1} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The function $\underline{\chi}$ is now chosen to be an approximation on \underline{w} . Then

$$\|\nabla(\underline{w} - \underline{\chi})\|_{-\alpha} \leq c h \|\nabla^2 \underline{w}\|_{-\alpha} \quad .$$

After standard estimates and transformations we come to

$$(24) \quad \|\underline{\phi}\|_{\alpha+1} \leq \delta \|\nabla \underline{\phi}\|_{\alpha} + c \delta^{-1} \left\{ \|\underline{E}\|_{\alpha+1} + \|\nabla \underline{E}\|_{\alpha} + h \|\nabla^2 \underline{w}\|_{-\alpha} \right\}.$$

Here $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary.

If δ is chosen such that with the constant in (22) $\delta c < 1$ then the combination of (22), (24) gives

$$(25) \quad \|\underline{\phi}\|_{\alpha+1} + \|\nabla \underline{\phi}\|_{\alpha} \leq c \left\{ \|\underline{E}\|_{\alpha+1} + \|\nabla \underline{E}\|_{\alpha} + h \|\nabla^2 \underline{w}\|_{-\alpha} \right\} \quad .$$

From now we specialize $\alpha = 1$. Applying the shift theorem to the functions $x_1 \underline{w}$ and $\rho \underline{w}$ gives after some computations

LEMMA 4: Let \underline{w} be the solution of (23) with $\alpha = 1$.
Then

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \underline{w}\|_{-1}^2 &\leq c \left\{ \rho^{-2} \|\underline{\Phi}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \underline{w}\|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq c \left\{ \rho^{-2} \|\underline{\Phi}\|_2^2 + a(\underline{w}, \underline{w}) \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the last term by $\|\underline{\Phi}\|_2^2$ respective by

$$\|\nabla \sigma(\underline{w})\|_{-2}^2 = \iint \mu^2 \Sigma |\sigma_{1k,k}(\underline{w})|^2 .$$

If we define

$$(27) \quad K = K_\rho = \sup \left\{ a(\underline{w}, \underline{w}) \mid \|\nabla \sigma(\underline{w})\|_{-2} = 1 \right\} ,$$

then we have with (26)

$$(28) \quad \|\nabla^2 \underline{w}\|_{-1}^2 \leq c(\rho^{-2} + K_\rho) \|\underline{\Phi}\|_2^2 .$$

In the appendix we will sketch the proof of

LEMMA 5: Let K_ρ be defined by (27). Then

$$K_\rho \leq c \rho^{-2} |\ln \rho| .$$

With the help of this estimate we get combining (28) with (25)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\underline{\Phi}\|_2 + \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_1 &\leq c \left\{ \|\underline{E}\|_2 + \|\nabla \underline{E}\|_1 \right\} \\ &\quad + c h_0^{-1} |\ln \rho|^{1/2} \|\underline{\Phi}\|_2 . \end{aligned}$$

If we take $\rho \geq \gamma_3 h |\ln h|$ with γ_3 properly chosen the imposed conditions on ρ will hold and the coefficient of $\|\underline{\Phi}\|_2$ in the last inequality is smaller than 1. Remembering the meaning of $\underline{E} = \underline{u} - \underline{U}_h$ we get

LEMMA 6: If the parameter ρ in the weight-factor μ is connected with h by $\rho \geq \gamma_2 h |\ln h|^{1/2}$ then

$$(29) \quad \|\underline{\Phi}\|_2 + \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_1 \leq c \inf_{\underline{\chi} \in \mathcal{S}_h^0} \left\{ \|\underline{u} - \underline{\chi}\|_2 + \|\nabla(\underline{u} - \underline{\chi})\|_1 \right\} .$$

5. L_∞ -error-estimates

Let us now assume that the solution \underline{u} of the boundary value problem (1) has bounded second derivatives. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\underline{\chi} \in \mathcal{S}_h^0} \left\{ \|\underline{u} - \underline{\chi}\|_2 + \|\nabla(\underline{u} - \underline{\chi})\|_1 \right\} \\ & \leq c \left\{ h^2 \rho^{-1} + h |\ln \rho|^{1/2} \right\} \|\nabla^2 \underline{u}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} \\ & \leq c h |\ln h|^{1/2} \|\nabla^2 \underline{u}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} . \end{aligned}$$

The point x_0 in μ is now chosen to be in a $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ with

$$\|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} = |\nabla \underline{\Phi}(x_0)| .$$

Then we have

$$\|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_1 \geq c \frac{h}{\rho} \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty}$$

and therefore from (29)

$$\|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} \leq c h |\ln h| \|\nabla^2 \underline{u}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} .$$

Because of $\underline{e} = \underline{E} - \underline{\Phi}$ we have got

THEOREM 4: If $\underline{u} \in \underline{W}_\infty^2$ then

$$\|\nabla(\underline{u} - \underline{u}_h)\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} \leq c h |\ln h| \|\nabla^2 \underline{u}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty}$$

In order to get an error estimate for \underline{e} in \underline{L}_∞ we consider a $\Delta_0 \in \Gamma_h$ with

$$\|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} = \|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty(\Lambda_0)} .$$

Since $\underline{\Phi}$ is linear in Λ_0 we find with $K_{\underline{r}} \subset \Delta_0$ - $\underline{r} \geq \kappa^{-1}h$ -

$$(30) \quad \|\underline{\Phi}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} \leq c h^{-2} \iint_{K_{\underline{r}}} \underline{\Phi}^2 dx .$$

Now let $\underline{w} \in \underline{H}_2$ be the solution - compare with (23) - of

$$(31) \quad -\nabla\sigma(\underline{w}) = \begin{cases} h^{-2}\underline{\Phi} & \text{in } K_{\underline{r}} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} .$$

By arguments similar to those on pp. 8,9 we come to

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-2} \iint_{K_{\underline{r}}} \underline{\Phi}^2 dx &= a(\underline{\Phi}, \underline{w} - \underline{\chi}) - a(\underline{E}, \underline{w} - \underline{\chi}) + a(\underline{E}, \underline{w}) \\ &\leq c h^{-2} \iint_{K_{\underline{r}}} \underline{E}^2 dx + \\ &\quad + c h \left\{ \|\nabla \underline{\Phi}\|_1 + \|\nabla \underline{E}\|_1 \right\} \|\nabla^2 \underline{w}\|_{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and using (29)

$$(32) \quad h^{-2} \iint_{K_{\underline{r}}} \underline{\Phi}^2 dx \leq c h^2 |\ln h|^{1/2} \|\nabla^2 \underline{u}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} \|\nabla^2 \underline{w}\|_{-1} .$$

Using the counterparts of Lemmata 4 and 5 for the function w defined by (31) we get

$$\|\nabla^2 \underline{w}\|_{-1}^2 \leq c \rho^2 h^{-4} \iint_{K_{\underline{r}}} \underline{\Phi}^2 dx$$

and therefore we derive from (32)

$$h^{-2} \iint_{K_{\underline{r}}} \underline{\Phi}^2 dx \leq c h^4 |\ln h|^2 \|\nabla^2 \underline{u}\|_{\underline{L}_\infty}^2 .$$

In connection with (30) we have

Theorem 5: If $u \in W_\infty^2$ then

$$\|\underline{u} - \underline{u}_h\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} \leq c h^2 |\ln h| \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\underline{L}_\infty} .$$

6. Appendix: Proof of Lemma 5

There exists (at least) one solution $\underline{w} \in \underline{H}_2$ with

$$a(\underline{w}, \underline{w}) = K \|\nabla \sigma(\underline{w})\|_{-2}^2 .$$

For any $\underline{v} \in \underline{H}_2$ the variational equations

$$a(\underline{w}, \underline{v}) = K \iint \mu^2 (\nabla \sigma(\underline{w}) \cdot (\nabla \sigma(\underline{v}))) \, dx$$

hold. Since

$$a(\underline{w}, \underline{v}) = - \iint \underline{w} (\nabla \sigma(\underline{v})) \, dx$$

and $\nabla \sigma(\underline{v}) \in \underline{L}_2$ is arbitrary the function \underline{w} satisfies

$$(33) \quad -\nabla \sigma(\underline{w}) = \lambda \mu^{-2} \underline{w}$$

with $\lambda = K^{-1}$. In order to estimate K we need a lower bound of the eigenvalues of (33). Multiplication of (33) with \underline{w} and integration gives

$$K = \lambda^{-1} = \|\underline{w}\|_{-2}^2 / a(\underline{w}, \underline{w}) .$$

Because of Korn's inequality we have

$$K \leq c \sup \left\{ \|\underline{w}\|_{-2}^2 \mid \|\nabla \underline{w}\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

and the right hand side is bounded up to a factor by

$$(34) \quad \bar{K} = \sup \left\{ \|\underline{w}\|_{-2}^2 \mid \underline{w} \in \overset{0}{W}_2^1 \wedge \|\nabla \underline{w}\| \leq 1 \right\} .$$

The extremal function w of (34) is the solution of

$$(35) \quad -\Delta w = \bar{\lambda} \mu^{-2} w$$

with $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{K}^{-1}$ being the smallest eigenvalue. Because of the maximum principle w as well as $-\Delta w$ are not negative. From this the monotony of \bar{K} with respect to the domain follows: Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be two domains and \bar{K}_1, \bar{K}_2 be the corresponding values (34). If $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ then $\bar{K}_1 \leq \bar{K}_2$. Now let $\hat{\Omega}$ be the circle with center x_0 and radius $\hat{r} = \text{diam}(\Omega)$. Then $\Omega \subseteq \hat{\Omega}$ and it suffices to bound the corresponding value of K . Since μ depends only on $|x-x_0|$ and $w \geq 0$ there is a solution of (34) depending also only on $|x-x_0|$ (actually the smallest eigenvalue is simple). Therefore problem (35) can be handled as 1-dimensional. By direct computation then we get the bound for \hat{K} and hence for K given in Lemma 5.

Literature

Ciarlet, P.G. and P.A. Raviart

1. General lagrange and hermite interpolation in R^n with applications to finite element methods
Archive for Rat. Mech. and Anal. 46, (1972)
177-199

Natterer, F.

1. Über die punktweise Konvergenz finiter Elemente
(to appear in Num. Math.)

Nitsche, J.

1. On approximation methods for Dirichlet-Problems using subspaces with 'nearly zero' boundary conditions in "The mathematical foundations of the finite element method with application to partial differential equations", K. Aziz and I. Babuška eds., Acad. Press, New York and London, 1972, 603-627
2. L_∞ -convergence of finite element approximation, "2. conference on finite elements", Rennes, France (1975)

Joachim Nitsche

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität
78 Freiburg, Hebelstr. 40
Federal Republic of Germany